

EUROPEAN RESPIRATORY journal

FLAGSHIP SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF ERS

Early View

Original article

Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 shedding in the respiratory tract depends on the severity of disease in COVID-19 patients

Dieter Munker, Andreas Osterman, Hans Stubbe, Maximilian Muenchhoff, Tobias Veit, Tobias Weinberger, Michaela Barnikel, Jan-Niclas Mumm, Katrin Milger, Elham Khatamzas, Sarah Klauss, Clemens Scherer, Johannes C. Hellmuth, Clemens Giessen-Jung, Michael Zoller, Tobias Herold, Stephanie Stecher, Enrico N de Toni, Christian Schulz, Nikolaus Kneidinger, Oliver T. Keppler, Jürgen Behr, Julia Mayerle, Stefan Munker

Please cite this article as: Munker D, Osterman A, Stubbe H, *et al.* Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 shedding in the respiratory tract depends on the severity of disease in COVID-19 patients. *Eur Respir J* 2021; in press (https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02724-2020).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *European Respiratory Journal*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJ online.

©The authors 2021. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 shedding in the respiratory tract depends on the severity

of disease in COVID-19 patients

Dieter Munker¹#; Andreas Osterman^{2,3}#; Hans Stubbe^{4,9}; Maximilian Muenchhoff^{2,3,4}; Tobias Veit¹; Tobias Weinberger⁵; Michaela Barnikel¹; Jan-Niclas Mumm⁶; Katrin Milger¹; Elham Khatamzas^{4,7}; Sarah Klauss⁹, Clemens Scherer^{4,5}; Johannes C. Hellmuth^{4,7}, Clemens Giessen-Jung⁷; Michael Zoller⁸; Tobias Herold⁷; Stephanie Stecher⁹, Enrico N de Toni⁹; Christian Schulz⁹; Nikolaus Kneidinger¹; Oliver T. Keppler²; Jürgen Behr¹; Julia Mayerle⁹; Stefan Munker⁹

¹ Department of Medicine 5, Comprehensive Pneumology Center (CPC-M), Member of the German Center for Lung Research (DZL), University Hospital, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU), Germany

² Max von Pettenkofer Institute and Gene Center, Virology, National Reference Center for Retroviruses, Ludwig Maximilian University, Munich, Germany

³ German Center for Infection Research, Partner Site Munich, Germany and Associated Partner Site Munich , Germany

⁴ COVID-19 Registry of the LMU Munich (CORKUM), University Hospital, LMU Munich, Germany

⁵ Emergency Department, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; Department of Medicine 1, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU), Germany; German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany

⁶ Department of Urology, University Hospital, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU), Germany

⁷ Department of Medicine 3, University Hospital, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU), Germany

⁸ Department of Anaesthesiology, University Hospital, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU), Germany

⁹ Department of Medicine 2, University Hospital, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU), Germany

#contributed equally

^{*}correspondence to: <u>dieter.munker@med.uni-muenchen.de</u>

<u>Abstract</u>

A fraction of COVID-19 patients progress to a severe disease manifestation with respiratory failure and the necessity of mechanical ventilation. Identifying patients at risk is critical for optimized care and early therapeutic interventions. We investigated the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 shedding relative to disease severity.

We analyzed nasopharyngeal and tracheal shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in 92 patients with diagnosed COVID-19. Upon admission, standardized nasopharyngeal swabs or sputum were collected. If patients were mechanically ventilated, tracheal aspirates were additionally obtained. Viral shedding was quantified by real-time PCR detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA.

45% (41 of 92) of COVID-19 had a severe disease course with the need for mechanical ventilation (severe group). At week 1, the initial viral shedding determined from nasopharyngeal swabs showed no significant difference between non-severe and severe cases. At week 2, a difference could be observed as the viral shedding remained elevated in severely ill patients. A time course of C-reactive-Protein (CRP), Interleukin-6 (II-6), and Procalcitonin (PCT) revealed an even more protracted inflammatory response following the delayed drop of virus shedding load in severely ill patients. A significant proportion (47.8%) of patients showed evidence of prolonged viral shedding (>17 days), which was associated with severe disease courses (73.2%).

We report that viral shedding does not differ significantly between severe and non-severe cases upon admission to the hospital. Elevated SARS-CoV-2 shedding in the second week of hospitalization, a systemic inflammatory reaction peaking between second and third week and prolonged viral shedding are associated with a more severe disease course.

Introduction:

In COVID-19, rapid pulmonary worsening is frequently observed after an initial period of symptom stability. Clinical features of SARS-CoV-2 infections or COVID-19 were previously reported ¹⁻³. Several reports have described viral shedding to occur for extended periods ^{4, 5}. Complete assessment of viral shedding can give valuable insight into the underlying immunological mechanisms ⁶. Detection of viral RNA by PCR is not necessarily associated with an infectious virus since infectivity was shown to be significantly reduced at later time points despite the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA ⁷⁻¹⁰.

Pneumonia represents the most important clinical manifestation of COVID-19 infection and is the primary determinant of prognosis in severely ill patients. There is a remarkable heterogeneity in the individual course and severity of the disease. Therefore pulmonary clearance of the virus is of particular interest ¹⁰. An exaggerated response or reduced immune-dependent viral clearance in some patients may aggravate the pulmonary manifestation ¹¹. Individual differences in viral tropism, viral shedding load, duration of viral shedding and viral tissue distribution may play a role therein. Data about the tissue distribution and temporal dynamics of viral shedding are scarce, and further clinical characterization is necessary. Recent investigations shed light on the longitudinal inflammatory response associated to Covid-19 ¹¹, it remains of high interest connecting clinically viable inflammatory parameters to virus shedding.

In our hospital, patients diagnosed with COVID-19 were repeatedly tested for evidence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in material from the respiratory tract, including repeated endotracheal aspirates (ETA), sputum, and nasopharyngeal swabs (NPS).

Here we report the clinical and virological findings describing the dynamic of viral shedding in the cohort of 92 consecutive patients admitted to our hospital due to COVID-19 between 29th February and 17th May 2020.

Methods:

Study design

This study is a retrospective cohort study of all laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients admitted consecutively to the University Hospital of LMU Munich from 29th February 2020 to 17th May 2020.

Patients

All consecutive patients were either referred to or walked into the emergency care unit of our University hospital, a major academic center in southern Germany, with suspected COVID-19. These patients were retrospectively identified as confirmed COVID-19 cases by positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR. Only adults (age≥18 years) were included. We used a simple classification for disease severity: severe cases were defined as patients with the need for mechanical ventilation as it was used before ¹². Moderate disease in our patients was defined by the absence of mechanical ventilation and the need for oxygen insufflation, while the absence of both defined mild disease courses. Non-severe disease includes mild to moderate disease.

Samples

NPS, sputum, or ETA (in 7 patients with intubation at admission) were routinely obtained on admission and were performed according to local guidelines. NPS samples were taken on clinical suspicion of COVID-19. In addition, sputum samples were obtained when CT scanning showed COVID-19 typical infiltrates and NPS were negative or for clinical monitoring purposes. At admission, up to two NPS samples (with at least 12h distance) and one sputum sample (if necessary) were obtained.

Repeated collection of either sample (NPS, sputum, and ETA) was performed for clinical monitoring. When COVID-19 symptoms receded and two consecutive NPS (at least with a day distance) showed a negative result, testing was stopped.

Viral load analysis

Viral loads are expressed as SARS-CoV-2-RNA copy numbers per ml sputum, ETA, or transport medium of the swab sample. The standard swabs used in our hospital contain 1 ml liquid Amies transport medium (eSwab[™], COPAN Diagnostics).

The following PCR assays were used for quantification in the accredited routine diagnostics laboratory of the Max von Pettenkofer-Institute: The *nucleocapsid* (N1) reaction of the CDC protocol ¹³, the *envelope* amplification of the Charité protocol ^{14, 15}, the *nucleocapsid* amplification of the Seegene Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay and the Roche Cobas SARS-CoV-2 *nucleocapsid reaction*.

Standard curves were generated in multiple diluted replicates using either a plasmid containing the *nucleocapsid* gene (2019-nCoV-N-PositiveControl, IDT) or a clinical sample with copy numbers based on digital droplet PCR results as described previously¹⁶. Different formulas were derived for each PCR assay to convert Ct/Cp values to copy number estimates: 80*1,95^(40,29-Cp) for CDC (N1), 80*1,99^(39,34-Cp) for Charité (E), 80*2,00^(38,63-Ct) for Seegene Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay (N) and 80*1,99^(39,34-Ct) for Roche Cobas SARS-CoV-2 (N). These calculations do not take into account variability between separate PCR runs, different PCR chemicals, or different nucleic acid extraction methods. However, since these variabilities apply to all patient groups, they do not affect the interpretation of the results in this study.

The term "viral shedding" is used synonymously with the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA by PCR in respiratory material. However, this parameter, which we quantify, could also include subviral particles or RNA from dying cells and is not equivalent to the excretion of complete virions or even infectivity.

Serum inflammatory parameters

Procalcitonin was measured on a Cobas 8000 platform (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and Interleukin-6 were measured on a Cobas e801 platform (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were measured on a Cobas c702 platform by using the Tina-quant C-Reactive Protein assay (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland).

Statistical analysis

Differences in parametric continuous variables such as the viral loads were examined with the Student's T-Test or ANOVA as appropriate. Distribution of clinical characteristics was examined by the usage of the Mann-Whitney U test or χ^2 test, as appropriate. Curve fitting was performed with a smoothing spline with 4 knots. Cox-regression analysis was performed to investigate the association of viral shedding duration with clinical characteristics such as

gender, age, arterial hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, and Charlson Comorbidity score¹⁷. Patients without repeated negative results were censored on the last day of positivity. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 25 or Graphpad Prism 8.0.1.

Ethics Statement

The local ethics board approved this study of the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (project no. 20-454).

Results:

Upon admission, all patients had either NPS sampling, ETA sampling, or both. In all 92 cases, SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed in respiratory samples by real-time PCR. Patient characteristics are depicted in **Table 1a and b**. Patients were retrospectively identified as confirmed COVID-19 cases admitted from 29th February to 17th May 2020. On admission, the majority of cases (85/92) were breathing spontaneously and had a non-severe disease. 7 patients were transferred to our hospital, already receiving mechanical ventilation. Of the 85 non-severe patients at admission, 34 patients developed a severe disease course during the hospital stay. Of the remaining 51 (non-severe) patients, 20 patients developed a moderate disease course. The median age was 62 years (interquartile range 51-75). A significant proportion of patients had several comorbidities with an average Charlson comorbidity score of 2,5 (interquartile range 1 to 4), with arterial hypertension (49%) and diabetes mellitus (17%) being the most common comorbidities. Additional patient characteristics are shown in **Table S1**. A total of 473 respiratory samples (245 NPS, 228 tracheal aspirates, and 9 sputum samples) were examined. On average, 5.3 samples were collected per patient, and the testing frequency was similar among both groups **Table S2**.

Differences of SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding in ETA and NPS samples

Assessment of sample collection in patients with mechanical ventilation showed that ETA and NPS sample pairs taken at the same time point (n=13 patients) correlated significantly with each other (r=0.499 and p=0.041), but paired ratio T-Test revealed significantly higher viral shedding in ETA versus NPS type (p=0.0041) **Figure 1**. Therefore NPS and ETA were separately analyzed in subsequent tests. Individual sampling of NPS (correlation: r=0.8231, p<0.0001; ratio paired T-test: p=0.2575) **Figure 1b** and ETA (correlation: r=0.7948, p<0.0001, ratio paired T-test: p=0.1436) **Figure 1c** show high reproducibility of each sampling method.

SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding and disease severity

Viral shedding, according to disease severity, as shown in **Figure 2**. Initial virus shedding was not different among the severely diseased or non-severly disease Figure 2a. We excluded an influence of time to first testing to virus shedding load **Figure 2b**. For subsequent tests, we have calculated the average patient viral shedding for each week to reduce the influence of sample timing and sampling bias. According to disease severity, a direct comparison of viral

shedding showed a significantly elevated viral shedding at week 2 in severely ill patients Figure 2c + Table S2.

In nasopharyngeal swabs of patients with non-severe disease, SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding showed a significant drop at week 2 (p=0.0098), week 3 (p=0.0003), and week 4 (p=0.0004) when compared to week 1 **Figure 2d**. In patients with severe disease, viral shedding was not different at week 2 (p=0.3089) but decreased at week 3 (p=0.0056) and week 4 (p<0.0001), as depicted in **Figure 2d**.

In ETA of patients with severe disease, viral shedding dropped significantly at week 3 (p=0.0358) and week 4 (p=0.0022) compared to week 1 Figure 2e.

SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding and systemic inflammation

To further characterize the longitudinal inflammatory response to viral shedding and disease severity, we characterized the time course of Interleukin-6, Procalcitonin, and CRP. We calculated the weekly average values of Interleukin-6, Procalcitonin, and CRP to prevent sampling bias. Patients receiving tocilizumab were excluded from the analysis of CRP and II-6 (n=4). Statistical analysis showed significantly elevated values of Interleukin-6 and CRP in the severe group at early time points and decreased at later time points (week 3-4) except for Procalcitonin. Initial viral shedding load did not correlate with peak PCT, peak II-6 or peak CRP **Figure 3b**. Curve fitting revealed Interleukin-6 and Procalcitonin peaking at weeks 2-3, whereas CRP peaked between weeks 1 and 2 and dropped at weeks 2 and 3 **Figure 3**. Procalcitonin levels directly at admission (PCT measured <48h after admission) were significantly increased in patients with severe disease **Figure S2a**, further stratification according to coinfection or secondary infection (**Table S4**) and **Figure S2b** are attached in supplementary materials.

SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding duration

Viral shedding duration was capable of discriminating the need for mechanical ventilation Figure S2 with a Youden index of 0.467; a cutoff of 17 was determined optimal. Protracted viral shedding (>17days) was observed in 34 % of the 92 patients reported. The duration of viral shedding varied significantly according to disease severity Table 1; this is illustrated in Figure 4. To correct for influences by other variables, prolonged viral shedding was investigated by uniand multivariate Cox-Regression analysis. To validate the definition "duration of viral shedding" the Cox-Regression analysis was also performed with "duration of viral shedding" defined as the time from onset of symptoms to the first negative test result. The significance and interpretation of the results are basically unchanged between both definitions (see supplementary **Figure S1**). Multivariable analysis confirmed the association of prolonged virus shedding with severe disease. Further, no correlations between viral shedding and immunosuppression were found in **Table 2**.

Discussion:

Our study shows that viral shedding remains elevated the first two weeks in COVID-19 patients with severe disease, whereas it drops earlier in the non-severe patient group in NPS. Furthermore, we show an association of persistent viral shedding with disease severity. In a cohort of European patients, the time course and viral shedding of SARS-CoV-2 have not been investigated. Characterization of viral shedding dynamics is of high interest since it may indicate underlying immunological processes.

Previous investigations of viral shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in respiratory tract samples have shown a higher viral shedding in deeper respiratory tract samples ^{10, 18}. Huang et al. investigated SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding in different respiratory tract sample types (bronchial and nasopharyngeal) and found that patients with severe courses exhibited elevated viral shedding in deeper respiratory tract samples ¹⁹. These findings are in line with our study, in which ETA and NPS testing showed a high variability when both sample types were compared directly. Therefore, in subsequent tests, they were separately analyzed **Figure 1**. This variability may be explained by differences in the tropism of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, but technical limitations of NPS for nasopharyngeal specimen may add to it ²⁰.

Several studies investigating SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding and disease severity subsumed bronchial and nasopharyngeal tract samples as respiratory tract samples^{8, 21, 22}. As discussed before, a separate analysis of lower respiratory tract samples and upper respiratory tract samples may prevent a sampling bias. When analyzed separately, we found that SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal viral shedding remained high at week 2 in the severe patient group, whereas it dropped at week 2 of the non-severe group. When comparing absolute viral shedding at admission, we did not find significant differences according to disease severity. The persistent elevation at week 2 in the severe group indicates a lack of virus clearance as a causative mechanism for pulmonary worsening. Initial viral loads did not differ according to disease severity, which may further suggest a replication ceiling as a consequence of the saturation of ACE-II receptor binding ²³. The recently published study of Zheng et al. showed elevated shedding of SARS-CoV-2 virus in respiratory tract samples of severely diseased patients when compared to patients with mild disease ²¹. In this study, respiratory tract samples were not differentiated between sputum or saliva, which may explain the observed differences in viral shedding since elevated levels may also be caused by the inclusion of more sputum samples in the severe group.

When analyzing systemic markers of inflammation, we observed a protracted systemic inflammatory response of II-6 and Procalcitonin at week 2-3 after an initial elevation and decrease of CRP (week 2). These results support previously published data characterizing the immunological response in severely diseased patients ^{11, 24, 25}. Interestingly a small but relevant proportion of COVID-19 patients develop hyperinflammatory severe disease courses, while initial viral loads do not differ between severely and non-severely diseased patients but stay elevated in patients with severe disease at week 2. These findings are in line with the reported efficacy of the RECOVERY trial, which showed immune suppression by steroid therapy led to a highly significant reduction of 28-day mortality ²⁶. Analogies can be drawn to other imbalanced hyperinflammatory syndromes, e.g., only a small fraction of patients after EBV infection develop haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis ²⁷. The absence of efficacy of IL-6 receptor blockade by tocilizumab in moderately ill COVID-19 patients indicates other underlying pathways involved in this inflammatory process ²⁸.

The discordant movement of II-6 and CRP is suggestive of innate factors dominating the early immune response. It was recently shown that II-6 does not exclusively correspond to CRP (which is commonly produced by hepatocytes in response to IL-6) despite a certain (low) threshold of II-6 being necessary for CRP production ²⁹⁻³¹. Two larger studies have shown that serum IL-6 is superior to CRP, ferritin, liver enzymes, and other simple clinical laboratory markers for predicting COVID-19 clinical outcomes, such as respiratory failure and death, with an optimal cutoff of 80 and 86 pg/L, respectively ^{32, 33}.

A procalcitonin value of 0.2-0.5 ng/ml is recognized to be sensitive and specific for bacterial pneumonia in patients with lower respiratory tract symptoms, and pulmonary infiltrates ^{34, 35}. Interestingly, we observed in the group with and without coinfection or superinfection highly elevated PCT levels, which may indicate the presence of a subclinical bacterial coinfection (Figure S2b). It has to be emphasized that timing of sputum/ETA culture may be preceded by antibacterial therapy, therefore the proportion of patients with positive sputum might be underestimated. Further investigations addressing the importance of bacterial coinfection, subclinical coinfection and colonization in COVID-19 patients are warranted ³⁶⁻³⁸.

The duration of viral shedding of SARS-CoV-2 has been investigated in several selected patient groups so far. In an early comprehensive study of clinical characteristics of 191 Chinese COVID-19 inpatients, prolonged viral shedding was evident. However, data on absolute copy

numbers or sampling sites (sputum, NPS, or ENTA) are not available ⁴. Another study investigated viral shedding and transmissibility, and the temporal pattern of viral shedding was stratified according to patient subgroups ⁷. Increased duration of viral shedding was not shown in any of the investigated subgroups. However, in this analysis, only a few patients in the non-severe and severe subgroup were included, and a definition of these subgroups was not available. Our study demonstrates the persistence of viral shedding in our hospitalized patients (n=44; 44.8% patients had viral shedding at least 17 days after onset of symptoms) occurs more frequently in patients with severe disease **Table 2**.

Persistently elevated SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding in respiratory specimens suggests a decreased immune clearance in patients with severe courses. Whereas in individuals of young age and few comorbidities, viral clearance was swift, but prolonged viral shedding was observed among a few oligosymptomatic patients ¹¹. Important underlying factors responsible for this phenomenon might be differences in host factors or immune response. Interestingly male gender was associated with prolonged viral shedding in Table 2. The delayed viral clearance of male patients may be explained by immunological and epidemiological gender-specific differences ^{39, 40}.

Further, viral RNA's presence more than 50 days after onset of symptoms may be suggestive for ongoing viral replication, which gives rise to a chronic local inflammatory response. These findings can explain the often difficult and protracted recovery of COVID-19 patients, accompanied by an ongoing local immune reaction with detrimental effects on the respiratory system and other organs ^{41, 42}. In SARS, similar viral shedding patterns were observed ⁴³. As in SARS, the slow decrease in SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding despite seroconversion suggests an ongoing cellular clearance with an ineffective antibody-mediated clearance in COVID-19 ^{10, 43}. Further investigations should focus on the impact of immunological factors on the course and outcome of COVID-19.

Limitations of the study

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, it is a retrospective single-center cohort study with a moderate sample size. This might lead to an unbalanced distribution of confounders in subgroup analyses. The number of patients tested decreases later due to shorter hospital stays in non-severe patients, while other patients were still ventilated when our analysis was performed; the collected samples may be less representative when comparing viral shedding.

Viral shedding measurements by PCR mostly rely on the sample collection and preanalytical factors, influencing the measured viral shedding. Host factors such as increased bronchial susceptibility with an increase of necrotic/apoptotic cells may additionally affect viral shedding measurements.

Conclusion

Our findings show that viral shedding remains elevated in severe disease courses in the first weeks and may persist over longer durations. A protracted and imbalanced inflammatory response may ultimately contribute to disease severity. Further studies should investigate individual host factors associated with these phenomena to elucidate underlying mechanisms.

No fundings to declare.

[1] Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, Qiu Y, Wang J, Liu Y, Wei Y, Xia J, Yu T, Zhang X, Zhang L: Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 2020, 395:507-13.

[2] Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z, Yu T, Xia J, Wei Y, Wu W, Xie X, Yin W, Li H, Liu M, Xiao Y, Gao H, Guo L, Xie J, Wang G, Jiang R, Gao Z, Jin Q, Wang J, Cao B: Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020, 395:497-506.

[3] Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Wang B, Xiang H, Cheng Z, Xiong Y, Zhao Y, Li Y, Wang X, Peng Z: Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020.

[4] Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, Guan L, Wei Y, Li H, Wu X, Xu J, Tu S, Zhang Y, Chen H, Cao B: Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020, 395:1054-62.

[5] Liu WD, Chang SY, Wang JT, Tsai MJ, Hung CC, Hsu CL, Chang SC: Prolonged virus shedding even after seroconversion in a patient with COVID-19. J Infect 2020.

[6] Rouse BT, Sehrawat S: Immunity and immunopathology to viruses: what decides the outcome? Nat Rev Immunol 2010, 10:514-26.

[7] He X, Lau EHY, Wu P, Deng X, Wang J, Hao X, Lau YC, Wong JY, Guan Y, Tan X, Mo X, Chen Y, Liao B, Chen W, Hu F, Zhang Q, Zhong M, Wu Y, Zhao L, Zhang F, Cowling BJ, Li F, Leung GM: Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat Med 2020.

[8] Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, Yu J, Kang M, Song Y, Xia J, Guo Q, Song T, He J, Yen HL, Peiris M, Wu J: SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. The New England journal of medicine 2020, 382:1177-9.

[9] Atkinson B, Petersen E: SARS-CoV-2 shedding and infectivity. Lancet 2020, 395:1339-40.
[10] Wolfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, Seilmaier M, Zange S, Muller MA, Niemeyer D, Jones TC, Vollmar P, Rothe C, Hoelscher M, Bleicker T, Brunink S, Schneider J, Ehmann R, Zwirglmaier K, Drosten C, Wendtner C: Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. Nature 2020.

[11] Lucas C, Wong P, Klein J, Castro TBR, Silva J, Sundaram M, Ellingson MK, Mao T, Oh JE, Israelow B, Takahashi T, Tokuyama M, Lu P, Venkataraman A, Park A, Mohanty S, Wang H, Wyllie AL, Vogels CBF, Earnest R, Lapidus S, Ott IM, Moore AJ, Muenker MC, Fournier JB, Campbell M, Odio CD, Casanovas-Massana A, Yale IT, Herbst R, Shaw AC, Medzhitov R, Schulz WL, Grubaugh ND, Dela Cruz C, Farhadian S, Ko AI, Omer SB, Iwasaki A: Longitudinal analyses reveal immunological misfiring in severe COVID-19. Nature 2020, 584:463-9.

[12] Ellinghaus D, Degenhardt F, Bujanda L, Buti M, Albillos A, Invernizzi P, Fernandez J, Prati D, Baselli G, Asselta R, Grimsrud MM, Milani C, Aziz F, Kassens J, May S, Wendorff M, Wienbrandt L, Uellendahl-Werth F, Zheng T, Yi X, de Pablo R, Chercoles AG, Palom A, Garcia-Fernandez AE, Rodriguez-Frias F, Zanella A, Bandera A, Protti A, Aghemo A, Lleo A, Biondi A, Caballero-Garralda A, Gori A, Tanck A, Carreras Nolla A, Latiano A, Fracanzani AL, Peschuck A, Julia A, Pesenti A, Voza A, Jimenez D, Mateos B, Nafria Jimenez B, Quereda C, Paccapelo C, Gassner C, Angelini C, Cea C, Solier A, Pestana D, Muniz-Diaz E, Sandoval E, Paraboschi EM, Navas E, Garcia Sanchez F, Ceriotti F, Martinelli-Boneschi F, Peyvandi F, Blasi F, Tellez L, Blanco-Grau A, Hemmrich-Stanisak G, Grasselli G, Costantino G, Cardamone G, Foti G, Aneli S, Kurihara H, ElAbd H, My I, Galvan-Femenia I, Martin J, Erdmann J, Ferrusquia-Acosta J, Garcia-Etxebarria K, Izquierdo-Sanchez L, Bettini LR, Sumoy L, Terranova L, Moreira L, Santoro L, Scudeller L, Mesonero F, Roade L, Ruhlemann MC, Schaefer M, Carrabba M, Riveiro-Barciela M, Figuera Basso ME, Valsecchi MG, Hernandez-Tejero M, Acosta-Herrera M, D'Angio M, Baldini M, Cazzaniga M, Schulzky M, Cecconi M, Wittig M, Ciccarelli M, Rodriguez-Gandia M, Bocciolone M, Miozzo M, Montano N, Braun N, Sacchi N, Martinez N, Ozer O, Palmieri O, Faverio P, Preatoni P, Bonfanti P, Omodei P, Tentorio P, Castro P, Rodrigues PM, Blandino Ortiz A, de Cid R, Ferrer R, Gualtierotti R, Nieto R, Goerg S, Badalamenti S, Marsal S, Matullo G, Pelusi S, Juzenas S, Aliberti S, Monzani V, Moreno V, Wesse T, Lenz TL, Pumarola T, Rimoldi V, Bosari S, Albrecht W, Peter W, Romero-Gomez M, D'Amato M, Duga S, Banales JM, Hov JR, Folseraas T, Valenti L, Franke A, Karlsen TH, Severe Covid GG: Genomewide Association Study of Severe Covid-19 with Respiratory Failure. The New England journal of medicine 2020.

[13] (CDC) CfDCaP: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/index.html</u>. 2020.

[14] Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, Bleicker T, Brunink S, Schneider J, Schmidt ML, Mulders DG, Haagmans BL, van der Veer B, van den Brink S, Wijsman L, Goderski G, Romette JL, Ellis J, Zambon M, Peiris M, Goossens H, Reusken C, Koopmans MP, Drosten C: Detection

of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill 2020, 25. [15] WHO: <u>https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/laboratory-guidance</u>. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) technical guidance: Laboratory

testing for 2019-nCoV in humans 2020. [16] Muenchhoff Maximilian MH, Nitschko Hans, Grzimek-Koschewa Natascha, Hoffmann Dieter, Berger Annemarie, Rabenau Holger, Widera Marek, Ackermann Nikolaus, Konrad Regina, Zange Sabine, Graf Alexander, Krebs Stefan, Blum Helmut, Sing Andreas, Liebl Bernhard, Wölfel Roman, Ciesek Sandra, Drosten Christian, Protzer Ulrike, Boehm Stephan, Keppler Oliver T.: Multicentre comparison of quantitative PCR-based assays to detect SARS-CoV-2. Euro Surveill 2020.

[17] Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J: Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol 1994, 47:1245-51.

[18] Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LLM, Wang Q: Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. Lancet Infect Dis 2020, 20:411-2.

[19] Huang Y, Chen S, Yang Z, Guan W, Liu D, Lin Z, Zhang Y, Xu Z, Liu X, Li Y: SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Clinical Samples from Critically III Patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020, 201:1435-8.

[20] Meerhoff TJ, Houben ML, Coenjaerts FE, Kimpen JL, Hofland RW, Schellevis F, Bont LJ: Detection of multiple respiratory pathogens during primary respiratory infection: nasal swab versus nasopharyngeal aspirate using real-time polymerase chain reaction. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2010, 29:365-71.

[21] Zheng S, Fan J, Yu F, Feng B, Lou B, Zou Q, Xie G, Lin S, Wang R, Yang X, Chen W, Wang Q, Zhang D, Liu Y, Gong R, Ma Z, Lu S, Xiao Y, Gu Y, Zhang J, Yao H, Xu K, Lu X, Wei G, Zhou J, Fang Q, Cai H, Qiu Y, Sheng J, Chen Y, Liang T: Viral load dynamics and disease severity in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Zhejiang province, China, January-March 2020: retrospective cohort study. BMJ 2020, 369:m1443.

[22] Liu Y, Yan LM, Wan L, Xiang TX, Le A, Liu JM, Peiris M, Poon LLM, Zhang W: Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis 2020, 20:656-7.

[23] Wu A, Peng Y, Huang B, Ding X, Wang X, Niu P, Meng J, Zhu Z, Zhang Z, Wang J, Sheng J, Quan L, Xia Z, Tan W, Cheng G, Jiang T: Genome Composition and Divergence of the Novel Coronavirus (2019nCoV) Originating in China. Cell Host Microbe 2020, 27:325-8.

[24] Kuri-Cervantes L, Pampena MB, Meng W, Rosenfeld AM, Ittner CAG, Weisman AR, Agyekum RS, Mathew D, Baxter AE, Vella LA, Kuthuru O, Apostolidis SA, Bershaw L, Dougherty J, Greenplate AR, Pattekar A, Kim J, Han N, Gouma S, Weirick ME, Arevalo CP, Bolton MJ, Goodwin EC, Anderson EM, Hensley SE, Jones TK, Mangalmurti NS, Luning Prak ET, Wherry EJ, Meyer NJ, Betts MR:

Comprehensive mapping of immune perturbations associated with severe COVID-19. Sci Immunol 2020, 5.

[25] Blanco-Melo D, Nilsson-Payant BE, Liu WC, Uhl S, Hoagland D, Moller R, Jordan TX, Oishi K, Panis M, Sachs D, Wang TT, Schwartz RE, Lim JK, Albrecht RA, tenOever BR: Imbalanced Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Drives Development of COVID-19. Cell 2020, 181:1036-45 e9.

[26] Group RC, Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, Staplin N, Brightling C, Ustianowski A, Elmahi E, Prudon B, Green C, Felton T, Chadwick D, Rege K, Fegan C, Chappell LC, Faust SN, Jaki T, Jeffery K, Montgomery A, Rowan K, Juszczak E, Baillie JK, Haynes R, Landray MJ: Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 - Preliminary Report. The New England journal of medicine 2020.

[27] Chen LYC, Hoiland RL, Stukas S, Wellington CL, Sekhon MS: Confronting the controversy: interleukin-6 and the COVID-19 cytokine storm syndrome. Eur Respir J 2020, 56.

[28] Stone JH, Frigault MJ, Serling-Boyd NJ, Fernandes AD, Harvey L, Foulkes AS, Horick NK, Healy BC, Shah R, Bensaci AM, Woolley AE, Nikiforow S, Lin N, Sagar M, Schrager H, Huckins DS, Axelrod M,

Pincus MD, Fleisher J, Sacks CA, Dougan M, North CM, Halvorsen YD, Thurber TK, Dagher Z, Scherer A, Wallwork RS, Kim AY, Schoenfeld S, Sen P, Neilan TG, Perugino CA, Unizony SH, Collier DS, Matza MA, Yinh JM, Bowman KA, Meyerowitz E, Zafar A, Drobni ZD, Bolster MB, Kohler M, D'Silva KM, Dau J, Lockwood MM, Cubbison C, Weber BN, Mansour MK, Investigators BBTT: Efficacy of Tocilizumab in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19. The New England journal of medicine 2020.

[29] Chen LYC, Hayden A, Mattman A: Extreme hyperferritinaemia, soluble interleukin-2 receptor, and haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. British journal of haematology 2019, 185:605-6.

[30] Weinhold B, Bader A, Poli V, Ruther U: Interleukin-6 is necessary, but not sufficient, for induction of the humanC-reactive protein gene in vivo. Biochem J 1997, 325 (Pt 3):617-21.

[31] Weinhold B, Ruther U: Interleukin-6-dependent and -independent regulation of the human C-reactive protein gene. Biochem J 1997, 327 (Pt 2):425-9.

[32] Herold T, Jurinovic V, Arnreich C, Lipworth BJ, Hellmuth JC, von Bergwelt-Baildon M, Klein M, Weinberger T: Elevated levels of IL-6 and CRP predict the need for mechanical ventilation in COVID-19. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020, 146:128-36 e4.

[33] Laguna-Goya R, Utrero-Rico A, Talayero P, Lasa-Lazaro M, Ramirez-Fernandez A, Naranjo L,
Segura-Tudela A, Cabrera-Marante O, Rodriguez de Frias E, Garcia-Garcia R, Fernandez-Ruiz M,
Aguado JM, Martinez-Lopez J, Lopez EA, Catalan M, Serrano A, Paz-Artal E: IL-6-based mortality risk
model for hospitalized patients with COVID-19. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020, 146:799-807 e9.
[34] Schuetz P, Albrich W, Mueller B: Procalcitonin for diagnosis of infection and guide to antibiotic decisions: past, present and future. BMC Med 2011, 9:107.

[35] Schuetz P, Suter-Widmer I, Chaudri A, Christ-Crain M, Zimmerli W, Mueller B, Procalcitonin-Guided Antibiotic T, Hospitalisation in Patients with Lower Respiratory Tract Infections Study G: Prognostic value of procalcitonin in community-acquired pneumonia. Eur Respir J 2011, 37:384-92.
[36] Assicot M, Gendrel D, Carsin H, Raymond J, Guilbaud J, Bohuon C: High serum procalcitonin concentrations in patients with sepsis and infection. Lancet 1993, 341:515-8.

[37] Burkhardt O, Ewig S, Haagen U, Giersdorf S, Hartmann O, Wegscheider K, Hummers-Pradier E, Welte T: Procalcitonin guidance and reduction of antibiotic use in acute respiratory tract infection. Eur Respir J 2010, 36:601-7.

[38] Schuetz P, Wirz Y, Sager R, Christ-Crain M, Stolz D, Tamm M, Bouadma L, Luyt CE, Wolff M, Chastre J, Tubach F, Kristoffersen KB, Burkhardt O, Welte T, Schroeder S, Nobre V, Wei L, Bucher HC, Annane D, Reinhart K, Falsey AR, Branche A, Damas P, Nijsten M, de Lange DW, Deliberato RO, Oliveira CF, Maravic-Stojkovic V, Verduri A, Beghe B, Cao B, Shehabi Y, Jensen JS, Corti C, van Oers JAH, Beishuizen A, Girbes ARJ, de Jong E, Briel M, Mueller B: Effect of procalcitonin-guided antibiotic treatment on mortality in acute respiratory infections: a patient level meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2018, 18:95-107.

[39] Wenham C, Smith J, Morgan R, Gender, Group C-W: COVID-19: the gendered impacts of the outbreak. Lancet 2020, 395:846-8.

[40] Womersley K, Ripullone K, Peters SA, Woodward M: Covid-19: Male disadvantage highlights the importance of sex disaggregated data. BMJ 2020, 370:m2870.

[41] Bonow RO, Fonarow GC, O'Gara PT, Yancy CW: Association of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) With Myocardial Injury and Mortality. JAMA Cardiol 2020.

[42] Catanzaro M, Fagiani F, Racchi M, Corsini E, Govoni S, Lanni C: Immune response in COVID-19: addressing a pharmacological challenge by targeting pathways triggered by SARS-CoV-2. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2020, 5:84.

[43] Chan KH, Poon LL, Cheng VC, Guan Y, Hung IF, Kong J, Yam LY, Seto WH, Yuen KY, Peiris JS: Detection of SARS coronavirus in patients with suspected SARS. Emerg Infect Dis 2004, 10:294-9.



Figure 1: SARS-CoV-2 viral load was investigated in paired ETA and NPS samples collected at the same time point **(b)** serial samples of NPS of the same patients; **(c)** serial samples of ETA of the same patients; NPS: nasopharyngeal swabs; ETA endotracheal aspirates



Figure 2: Viral shedding dynamics of severe respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by disease severity, sample type and time from symptom onset; a. initial SARS-CoV-2 virus shedding load comparison in NPS according disease severity. b initial virus load according to days of symptom onset, corresponding Pearson correlation c comparison of virus shedding in NPS in patients of non-severe to severe disease patients according to week of symptom onset and d dynamics of virus shedding in NPS of non-severe and severely diseased patients. **e** virus shedding dynamics measured exclusively in ETA of severely diseased patients. Non-severe summarizes mild and moderate courses. NPS: nasopharyngeal swabs; ETA: endotracheal aspirate. P-values were calculated with Student's T-Test. Error bars denote mean and standard deviation. **Table S2** shows corresponding statistical data.



Figure 3: time course of the inflammatory response in non-severe and severe COVID-19 patients. a, Virus shedding in nasophagryngeal swabs, serum measurements of C-reactive protein, Interleukin-6 and Procalcitonin were plotted over time and grouped by disease severity. b, initial virus load (only nose swabs of spontaneously breathing patients at admission) were plotted against either peak values of CRP, peak-Interleukin-6 and peak Procalcitonin and a Pearson correlation was calculated. c, representative overview of the longitudinal course of inflammatory parameters and virus shedding in NPS. For curve fitting a spline with 4 knots was calculated. Error bars denote the s.e.m.. Student's T-Test determined differences of means (Table S3).



Figure 4: Visualization of the duration of virus shedding according to disease severity. Colored lanes depict each patients' duration of virus shedding from first positive testing until the last positive testing. Yellow boxes represent negative tests.

		Non-Severe	Severe disease	p-Value
		disease	Mechanical	
		No mechanical	Ventilation	
		ventilation	necessary	
	n=92	n=51 (55.4%)	n=41 (44.6%)	
Age, mean ± SD	60.2 ± 15.8	57.9 ± 18.1	63.1 ± 12.7	0.258
Male, n (%)	71 (77.3 %)	36 (70.6 %)	34 (82.9 %)	0.22
Continuous oxygen insufflation, n (%)	61 (66.3 %)	21 (41.2 %)	41 (100 %)	<0.001
Admission to ICU, n (%)	47(51.1 %)	9 (17.6 %)	41 (100 %)	<0.001
Days of mechanical ventilation ± SD		n.a.	22.6 ± 14.1	n.a.
Days of hospitalization ± SD	18.5 ± 13.4	13.1 ± 7.8	25.3 ± 15.6	<0.001
Use of ECMO, n (%)	5 (5.4 %)	n.a.	5 (12.2 %)	n.a.
Days of ECMO use		n.a.	13.6 ± 3.8	n.a.
ECMO mortality, n (%)		n.a.	3 (60 %)	n.a.
Discharge, n (%)	66 (72.5 %)	45 (88.2 %)	21 (47.2 %)	<0.001
Fatal, n (%)	7 (7.6 %)	0 (0.0 %)	7 (17.9 %)	0.003
Presence of COVID-19 typical	85 (92.4%)	44 (86.3 %)	41 (100 %)	0.013
radiological changes, n (%)				
Initial viral load in nose swabs	$12.8 \times 10^{6} \pm$	12.6 x 10 ⁶	13.0 x 10 ⁶	0.127
(copies/ml) ± SD	41.1 x 10 ⁶	± 43.1 x 10 ⁶	± 39.9 x 10 ⁶	
Initial viral load in endotracheal		n.a.	67.2 x 10 ⁶ ±	n.a.
aspirate (copies/ml) ± SD			273 x 10 ⁶	
Duration of viral shedding in days (with	10 7 1 12 0	13.9 ± 9.5	25.8 ± 11.8	0.025
twice confirmed negativity) ± SD	18. / ± 12.0	(n=16)	(n=18)	
Persistent viral shedding (≥17days), n	44 (47 8 %)		20 (72 2 0/)	-0.001
(%)	++ (+7.070)	14 (27.5 %)	30 (73.2 %)	<0.001
Time to first testing in days ± SD	7.4 ± 4.7	6.5 ± 4.0	8.4 ± 5.3	0.12
Comorbidities n (%)				
Arterial hypertension	48 (52.2 %)	24 (47.1 %)	24 (58.5 %)	0.30
Diabetes mellitus Type 2	18 (19.6 %)	8 (15.7 %)	10 (24.4 %)	0.43
Coronary artery disease	15 (16.3 %)	9 (17.6 %)	6 (14.6 %)	0.78
COPD	11 (12.0 %)	4 (7.8 %)	7 (17.1 %)	0.21
Immunosuppression	22 (23.9 %)	13 (25.5%)	9 (22.0%)	0.81
Charlson comorbidity index ± SD	2.5 ± 1.8	2.5 ± 1.9	2.6 ± 1.7	0.62

Table 1a: Baseline characteristics of the study population. Data are mean (SD) or n (%). p values were calculated by Mann-Whitney U test or χ^2 test, as appropriate. Severe disease was defined by the need of mechanical ventilation. COVID-19 typical changes included either ground glass opacities or diffuse bilateral infiltrates. Duration of nasopharyngeal viral shedding was defined by the time between symptom begin and last positivity for viral shedding in standardized nose swabs or endotracheal aspirates.

		Non-Severe	Severe disease	
		disease	Mechanical	p-value
		No mechanical	Ventilation necessary	
		ventilation		
	n=92	n=51 (55.4%)	n=41 (44.6%)	
Inflammation parameters				
Initial CRP (mg/dl)	7.9 ± 9.0	4.7 ± 5.2	12.6 ± 11.3	<0.001
Peak CRP (mg/dl)	15.4 ± 12.1	8.5 ± 7.9	25.6 ± 9.8	<0.001
Initial PCT (ng/ml)	0.41 ± 0.73	0.22 ± 0.33	0.68 ± 1.04	<0.001
Peak PCT (ng/ml)	4.14 ± 13.7	2.95 ± 14.2	5.91 ± 12.9	<0.001
Initial IL-6 (pg/ml)	189.3 ± 737.8	75.3 ± 292.4	359.9 ± 1095.5	<0.001
Peak IL-6 (pg/ml)	841.8 ± 2300.5	118.9 ± 321.7	1916.3 ± 3352.2	<0.001
Initial WBCs (G/l)	10.8 ± 31.6	6.2 ± 3.0	9.5 ± 5.0	<0.001
Peak WBCs (G/I)	18.1 ± 43.2	8.5 ± 4.0	21.5 ± 9.7	<0.001
Specific medication				
Use of broad spectrum antibiotics*	n=58 (63.0 %)	19 (37.3 %)	39 (95.1 %)	0,01
Use of Azithromycin	n=49 (53.3 %)	20 (39.2 %)	29 (70.7 %)	0.14
Use of antiviral agents**	n=9 (9.8 %)	4 (7.8%)	5 (12.2 %)	0.78
Use of hydroxchloroquin	n=24 (26.1%)	8 (15.7 %)	16 (39.0 %)	0.09
Use of prednisolone	n=3 (3.3%)		3 (7.3 %)	
Use of tocilicumab	n=4 (4.4 %)	1 (1.1 %)	3 (3.3%)	0.23

Table 1b: Baseline characteristics of the study population.Inflammation parameters and specificmedication of subgroups.CRP = C-reactive protein; PCT = procalcitonin; IL-6 = Interleukin 6; WBC =White blood cell count.

*meropenem or piperacillin and tazobactam

**lopinavir/ritonavir (n=8) or Tamiflu (n=1)

	Univariate analysis				Multivariate analysis			
	Significance	Hazard Ratio	95% confiden	ce interval	Significance	Hazard ratio	95% confidenc	e interval
			Lower	Higher			Lower	Higher
age	0.335	1.013	0.987	1.041	0.831	0.995	0.954	1.03
sex (m=1, f=2)	0.415	1.395	0.627	3.102	0.077	2.531	0.905	7.073
Disease severity (severe=1, non-severe=0)	0.075	1.894	0.939	3.824	0.025	3.260	1.162	9.147
Oxygen insufflation necessary (yes=1, no=0)	0.573	1.321	0.502	3.473	0.057	3.960	0.961	16.319
Hydroxychloroquin therapy (yes=1, no=0)	0.082	0.490	0.219	1.095	0.263	0.597	0.242	1.474
Lopinavir/Ritonavir treatment (yes=1, no=0)	0.796	1.149	0.401	3.296	0.384	1.713	0.509	5.765
Immunsuppressive treatment (Tocilizumab/Prednisolon/others; yes=1, no=0)	0.233	1.723	0.704	4.215	0.110	2.748	0.794	9.511
Diabetes Mellitus (yes=1, no=0)	0.953	0.975	0.422	2.254	0.704	1.243	0.404	3.825
Arterial hypertension (yes=1, no=0)	0.621	1.193	0.593	2.401	0.572	0.765	0.302	1.939
Coronary artery disease (yes=1, no=0)	0.787	1.141	0.440	2.959	0.968	0.978	0.326	2.930
Charlson Score (0-7)	0.425	1.082	0.891	1.314	0.850	1.036	0.719	1.493

 Table 2 Cox-Regression analysis of factors associated with prolonged SARS-Cov-2 positivity.



Figure S1: Cox-Regression analysis was performed with "duration of viral shedding" defined as the time from onset of symptoms to the last positive result (left figure) and until the first negative test result (right curve).



Figure S2a: PCT values at admission (PCT measured <48h after admission) of severe and non-severe disease **b** PCT levels in the subgroups of bacterial coinfection and secondary bacterial infection. ANOVA determined differences of means. Error bars denote mean and standard deviation.

		Non-Severe disease	Severe disease	p-Value
		No mechanical	Mechanical	
		ventilation	Ventilation	
			necessary	
	n=92	n=51 (55.4%)	n=41 (44.6%)	
Number of tests per patient, ± SD	5.3 ± 4.2	3.3 ± 1.7	7.7 ± 4.9	<0.001
Testing Frequency (number of tests/day)	0.31 ± 0.14	0.29 ± 0.13	0.33 ± 0.15	0.169
Twice negative testing available before	34 (37.0%)	16 (31.3 %)	18 (43.9 %)	0.154
discharge n (%)				
Comorbidities n (%)				
Asthma bronchiale	4 (4.3 %)	3 (5.5 %)	1 (2.7 %)	0.63
Smoking habit	25 (27.2 %)	15 (27.3%)	10 (27.0%)	0.81
Rheumatic disease	3 (3.3 %)	3 (5.5 %)	0 (0 %)	0.25
History of solid cancer	10 (10.9 %)	4 (7.8 %)	6 (14.6 %)	0.33
Solid organ transplantation	5 (5.4 %)	2 (3.9 %)	3 (7.3%)	0.65

Table S1: Extended patient characteristics: data are mean (SD) or n (%). p values were calculated by Mann-Whitney U test or χ^2 test, as appropriate. Severe disease was defined by the need of mechanical ventilation. Testing frequency was defined as the number of tests divided by the length of the hospital stay.

		week 1	week 2	week 3	week 4
Severe	Number of patients	16	15	11	18
	tested				
	Mean Average viral	22x10 ⁶	42 x 10 ⁶	0.47 x 10 ⁶	1.1 x 10 ⁶
	load (in copies/ml)				
Mild to moderate	Number of patients	34	32	24	10
	tested				
	Mean Average viral	15 x 10 ⁶	2.1 x 10 ⁶	2.9 x 10 ⁶	0.04 x 10 ⁶
	load (in copies/ml)				
T-Test	P value	0.17	0.03	0.60	0.90

Table S2: Comparison of average viral load in NPS according to time point and disease severity.(Student's T-Test). NPS: nasopharyngeal swabs.

CRP						
		week 1	week 2	week 3	week 4	
Severe	Number of patients tested	21	37	33	30	
	Mean Average concentration in mg dl ⁻¹	14.19	13.34	7.50	5.66	
Mild to moderate	Number of patients tested	38	44	29	12	
	Mean Average concentration in mg dl ⁻¹	4.01	3.57	3.60	3.36	
T-Test	P value	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0014	0.25	

II-6						
		week 1	week 2	week 3	week 4	
Severe	Number of patients tested	21	35	32	29	
	Mean Average concentration in ng ml ⁻¹	214.1	400.1	141.4	549.5	
Mild to moderate	Number of patients tested	38	43	28	12	
	Mean Average concentration in ng ml ⁻¹	69.0	21.3	22.3	24.3	
T-Test	P value	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.1199	

Procalcitonin						
		week 1	week 2	week 3	week 4	
Severe	Number of patients	21	32	29	24	
	tested					
	Mean Average	0.90	3.30	1.67	0.53	
	concentration in ng					
	ml ⁻¹					
Mild to moderate	Number of patients	36	44	28	12	
	tested					

	Mean Average	0.632	0.222	0.13	0.24
	concentration in ng	5			
	ml ⁻¹				
T-Test	P value	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.90

 Image: Comparison of CRP, II-6 and PCT according to time point and disease severity. (Student's T-Test).

	At admission <48h	of hospital stay	>48h of hospital stay		
	Clinical evidence of bacterial coinfection	ETA/sputum samples positive	Clinical evidence of secondary infection	ETA/Sputum samples positive	
Severe	8 of 41 (19.5%)	6 of 25 (24.0%)	10 of 41 (24.3%)	0 of 9 (0%)	
Non-severe	1 of 51 (2.0%)	0 of 5 (0%)	4 of 51 (8.0%)	1 of 5 (20%)	
p-Value	0.005	n.a.	0.028	n.a.	

Table S4 Distribution of coinfection, positive ETA/sputum samples and secondary infection in severeand non-severe COVID-19 patients.

Bacterial coinfection was defined as evidence for bacterial infection at admission, either by sputum, ETA culture or additional radiological signs of bacterial pneumonia. Secondary bacterial infection was defined as being an infection of any origin and being acquired during the hospital stay, according to patient charts.