




# Update June 2022: management of hospitalised adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a European Respiratory Society living guideline

Nicolas Roche<sup>1,20</sup>, Megan L. Crichton<sup>2</sup>, Pieter C. Goeminne<sup>3</sup>, Bin Cao<sup>4</sup>, Marc Humbert<sup>5</sup>, Michal Shteinberg<sup>6</sup>, Katerina M. Antoniou<sup>7</sup>, Charlotte Suppli Ulrik<sup>8</sup>, Helen Parks<sup>9</sup>, Chen Wang<sup>10</sup>, Thomas Vandendriessche<sup>11</sup>, Jieming Qu<sup>12,13</sup>, Daiana Stolz<sup>14</sup>, Christopher Brightling<sup>15</sup>, Tobias Welte<sup>16</sup>, Stefano Aliberti<sup>17</sup>, Anita K. Simonds<sup>18</sup>, Thomy Tonia<sup>19</sup> and James D. Chalmers<sup>2,20</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Respiratory Medicine, Cochin Hospital, APHP Centre-University of Paris, Cochin Institute (INSERM UMR1016), Paris, France. <sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK. <sup>3</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine, AZ Nikolaas, Sint-Niklaas, Belgium. <sup>4</sup>Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease Lab, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, National Center for Respiratory Medicine, Institute of Respiratory Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Science, National Clinical Research Center of Respiratory Diseases, Beijing, China. <sup>5</sup>Service de Pneumologie et Soins Intensifs, Hôpital Bicêtre, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Université Paris-Saclay, Inserm UMR\_S 999, Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France. <sup>6</sup>Pulmonology institute and CF Center, Carmel Medical Center and the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel. <sup>7</sup>Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Pneumology, Department of Respiratory Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Crete, Heraklion, Greece. <sup>8</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital-Hvidovre Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark. <sup>9</sup>European Lung Foundation, Sheffield, UK. <sup>10</sup>Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Center of Respiratory Medicine, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, National Clinical Research Center of Respiratory Diseases, Beijing, China. <sup>11</sup>KU Leuven Libraries – 2Bergen – Learning Centre Désiré Collen, Leuven, Belgium. <sup>12</sup>Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai, China. <sup>13</sup>Institute of Respiratory Diseases, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China. <sup>14</sup>Clinic of Respiratory Medicine and Pulmonary Cell Research, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland. <sup>15</sup>Institute for Lung Health, Leicester NIHR BRC, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK. <sup>16</sup>Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Hannover, Germany. <sup>17</sup>University of Milan, Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy. <sup>18</sup>Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. <sup>19</sup>Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University Bern, Bern, Switzerland. <sup>20</sup>N. Roche and J.D. Chalmers are task force co-chairs.

Corresponding author: James D. Chalmers ([jchalmers@dundee.ac.uk](mailto:jchalmers@dundee.ac.uk))



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

The ERS COVID-19 guidelines make recommendations for corticosteroids, anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibodies, baricitinib, anticoagulation and non-invasive respiratory support for hospitalised patients with COVID-19 <https://bit.ly/3QgHH7U>

Cite this article as: Roche N, Crichton ML, Goeminne PC, *et al.* Update June 2022: management of hospitalised adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a European Respiratory Society living guideline. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 60: 2200803 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00803-2022].

Copyright ©The authors 2022.

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

Received: 16 April 2022  
Accepted: 31 May 2022



## Introduction

Since the identification of SARS-CoV-2 at the end of 2019, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has affected more than 410 million people worldwide and killed almost 6 million [1, 2]. The predecessors of COVID-19, *i.e.* the SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) and MERS (Middle East respiratory syndrome) outbreaks, had been relatively self-limiting, preventing clinicians and researchers from establishing evidence-based specific therapeutic strategies [3]. Conversely, COVID-19 rapidly proved to be extremely fast spreading, which led stakeholders to encourage, guide, build or fund multidirectional therapeutic research strategies based on both repurposing and development of new agents [4–8]. In parallel, considerable efforts were directed at describing the disease and understanding the underlying mechanisms [9–13]. As a result, there has been a huge generation of evidence, as highlighted by the impressive number of COVID-19 publications (more than 200 000 since the end of 2019). As a consequence, it proved rapidly impossible for any clinician, researcher or decision-maker to gather and analyse all the corresponding literature to derive appropriate guidance [14]. The first step of such a process is to select the relevant high-quality research that can be used to answer the question(s) of interest [15]. Even if limiting the search

to clinical trials, systematic reviews and meta-analyses, almost 4000 papers appear in the PubMed database, as of mid-February 2022. In June and July 2020, the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the American Thoracic Society (ATS) released early guidance on several aspects of COVID-19 management (*i.e.* rehabilitation, palliative care and acute management); at that time, direct specific evidence was sparse or absent [16–18]. Simultaneously, the ERS launched a living guideline on the management of COVID-19. The format was that of a “short” guideline, as per ERS standards [19, 20], in that the purpose was to release the first iteration within 12 months. However, the number of PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcomes) questions to be addressed ( $n=12$ ) already exceeded markedly what the ERS considers as being feasible during such a short timeframe (*i.e.*  $n=1-2$ ), which was a direct consequence of the high number of unanswered issues in the field of acute COVID-19 management, all corresponding to outstanding clinical needs. The first version of these guidelines was published in March 2021 and addressed the following potential therapeutic options: corticosteroids, interleukin (IL)-6 receptor antagonists, hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin and both combined, colchicine, lopinavir-ritonavir, remdesivir, interferon- $\beta$ , anticoagulation and non-invasive ventilatory support [6, 21]. An update of the mortality meta-analyses for corticosteroids, hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, remdesivir, anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibodies, colchicine, lopinavir/ritonavir and interferon- $\beta$  was published in December 2021 [22].

The basic principle of a living guideline is that it should be updated as soon as new relevant evidence appears, following the World Health Organization living systematic review guidance [23].

Therefore, it was decided in August 2021 to start preparing the second iteration of the guideline, which is now published in the *European Respiratory Journal* [6]. As for all ERS guidelines, the methodology relies on the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations) system, with the aim of providing users with strictly evidence-based, explicit and transparent recommendations [24]. The panel was the same as for the initial version (see the author list).

#### Summary of the updating process

The first step was the selection of previous questions that needed to be updated, and new topics that warranted being addressed. Conditions for selection were the potential relevance of the considered treatment based on published research and clinical use, the existence of evidence and, for topics already addressed in the first version, the potential of new information or data for substantively changing the evidence base for the recommendation or the recommendation’s credibility [23]. Two virtual panel meetings were held to conduct the selection process. The panel determined that no update was required for corticosteroids, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir-ritonavir, remdesivir and interferon- $\beta$ . All other existing recommendations were suitable for an update, and four new PICO questions were generated for convalescent plasma, SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies, IL-1 receptor monoclonal antibodies and JAK inhibitors.

Then, the GRADE methodology (*i.e.* literature searches, evidence tables and evidence-to-decision frameworks) was applied to questions that needed to be updated or addressed *de novo*. Altogether, the new guideline document addresses 15 PICO questions (five unchanged since the first version, six updates, four new questions), resulting in 22 guideline recommendations formulated by the ERS panel and approved in February 2022. All details are provided in the dedicated manuscript. The previous version of the guideline remains as a supplement to allow transparency.

#### Summary of the guideline and rationale

Table 1 provides an overview of changes in and additions to the recommendations, while figure 1 shows a summary of the whole new guideline.

For patients with severe COVID-19, systematic corticosteroids remain standard of care and the strong recommendation to use these in patients with requirement for oxygen therapy or ventilatory support remains unchanged [14, 22, 25, 26]. IL-6 receptor antagonist monoclonal antibodies were given a conditional recommendation in the previous version of the guideline on the basis of eight randomised controlled trials, some of which were only available as preprints at the time of the previous guideline publication [22, 27, 28]. In the updated guideline, 12 randomised trials were available with a total of 5188 patients’ data. The larger dataset makes the beneficial effects of IL-6 receptor antagonists on mortality and requirement for ventilatory support more clear, resulting in a strong recommendation in favour of these therapies [6].

**TABLE 1** Summary of the June 2022 iteration of the European Respiratory Society living guideline on the management of acute COVID-19


Therapy	Updated evidence?	Previous recommendation	Updated recommendation
Corticosteroids	No	Strong recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention
IL-6 receptor antagonist monoclonal antibody	Yes	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention
Hydroxychloroquine	No	Strong recommendation against the intervention	Strong recommendation against the intervention
Azithromycin	Yes	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Strong recommendation against the intervention
Azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine	Yes	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Strong recommendation against the intervention
Colchicine	Yes	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Strong recommendation against the intervention
Lopinavir-ritonavir	No	Strong recommendation against the intervention	Strong recommendation against the intervention
Remdesivir	No	No recommendation	No recommendation
Interferon- $\beta$	No	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation against the intervention
Anticoagulation	Yes	Strong recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention
Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP)	Yes	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Conditional recommendation for the intervention
High flow nasal oxygen (HFNO)	Yes	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Conditional recommendation for the intervention
Convalescent plasma	Yes	New recommendation	Strong recommendation against the intervention
Specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies	Yes	New recommendation	Conditional recommendation for the intervention
IL-1 receptor antagonist monoclonal antibody	Yes	New recommendation	Conditional recommendation against the intervention
JAK inhibitors	Yes	New recommendation	Strong recommendation for the intervention

For items already addressed in the first version, the previous recommendation is mentioned.

In the previous version of the guideline conditional recommendations were made against the use of azithromycin, azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine in combination, and colchicine [4, 22, 29–31]. For each of these drugs further randomised trials have been published confirming the lack of beneficial effects in hospitalised patients and therefore these treatments now received a strong recommendation against their use.

The previous version of the guideline made a strong recommendation to use a form of anticoagulation in hospitalised patients with COVID-19, but was unable to determine whether prophylactic or treatment dose was superior due to a lack of data. In this update, five randomised controlled trials are included for analysis [32–36]. No mortality benefit is evident but a reduction in major thrombotic events is balanced by an increase in major bleeding. The panel therefore concluded that anticoagulation should continue to be standard care for hospitalised COVID-19 patients but that the evidence currently does not conclusively favour either prophylactic or therapeutic dose and so both may be appropriate in different patients based on their risk of bleeding *versus* embolic complications.

The ventilation section of the guideline has been updated to reflect the newly published RECOVERY-RS trial which compared continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), high flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) and conventional oxygen therapy [37]. This trial showed that CPAP reduced the requirement for mechanical ventilation but HFNO did not. In the original guideline it was recommended to use CPAP or HFNO in patients without an immediate requirement for mechanical ventilation based on observational studies [7, 38, 39]. The updated version of the guideline suggests to use CPAP as first-line therapy and makes a conditional recommendation for HFNO in patients who cannot tolerate or are not suitable for CPAP [37].



**FIGURE 1** Summary of the European Respiratory Society guideline for management of hospitalised patients with COVID-19. <sup>#</sup>: high flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) is recommended where continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) is contraindicated or not tolerated. <sup>¶</sup>: in addition, this therapy is only recommended where a susceptible variant is dominant, or rapid testing is available to confirm a susceptible variant. IL: interleukin.

Of the new therapies, a large number of randomised trials were available to address the questions related to convalescent plasma, IL-1 $\beta$  receptor monoclonal antibodies, SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody treatments and JAK inhibitors. Our literature review identified no evidence of benefit for convalescent plasma resulting in a strong recommendation against treatment. Importantly, this recommendation does not exclude the possibility of this treatment being effective in highly selected patient subgroups that were not included in the trials (*e.g.* highly immunosuppressed patients with prolonged disease and viral excretion). However, to date there is no firm demonstration supporting this possibility. IL-1 $\beta$  receptor therapy has shown mixed results in trials, and its place in therapy is unclear, resulting in a conditional recommendation against use while awaiting further data. Monoclonal antibody treatment with casirivimab and imdevimab was tested in the RECOVERY trial where it was associated with reduced mortality in seronegative individuals [40]. The utility of this therapy has been questioned because of reduced activity against the omicron variant which is now spreading rapidly around the world [41]. In view of this, a recommendation is made to limit use of this therapy to patients who are seronegative and are known to have, or are likely to have, infection with a susceptible variant. Finally, JAK inhibitors, particularly baricitinib, have shown improvements in mortality and other clinical outcomes in our systematic review [42–44]. Following completion of our systematic review the RECOVERY trial published data on a further 8156 patients randomised to baricitinib or usual care, demonstrating a significant reduction in mortality age-adjusted rate ratio of 0.87 (95% CI 0.77–0.98) [45]. A meta-analysis incorporating these data supported a 20% reduction in mortality. Importantly, 95–96% of patients were receiving corticosteroids and 23% were receiving tocilizumab in RECOVERY and the efficacy and safety of baricitinib was not affected by co-administration with tocilizumab [45]. This therapy therefore receives a strong recommendation, noting that it may be administered as an alternative to anti-IL-6 therapy, or in combination with anti-IL-6 therapy in patients at the highest risk.

#### Future directions

At the time of writing, the pandemic situation appears to be improving in many European countries but new outbreaks unfortunately remain possible. In addition, new variants could change the picture and, indeed, the omicron variant already challenges some of the existing treatment options [46]. In parallel, research is actively ongoing. Therefore, the ERS COVID-19 living guideline task force remains watchful and prepared to initiate the next update with the goal to provide all stakeholders with timely evidence-based recommendations developed following the highest quality standards.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors wish to thank Kristel Paque and Krizia Tuand, the biomedical reference librarians of the KU Leuven Libraries – 2Bergen – Learning Centre Désiré Collen (Leuven, Belgium), for their help in conducting the systematic literature search.

Conflict of interest: N. Roche reports grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis and Pfizer, personal fees from GSK, AstraZeneca, Chiesi, Sanofi and Zambon, outside the submitted work. M.L. Crichton reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, outside the submitted work. P.C. Goeminne reports personal fees for lectures from GSK and AstraZeneca, grants, personal fees for advisory board work and non-financial support for meeting attendance from Chiesi, outside the submitted work. B. Cao reports personal fees from F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd as a member of steering committee in transmission study of baloxavir, and grants from Peking Union Medical College Foundation, outside the submitted work. M. Humbert reports grants, personal fees and non-financial support from GlaxoSmithKline, personal fees from AstraZeneca, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Teva and Merck, grants and personal fees from Acceleron, Actelion and Bayer, outside the submitted work. M. Shteinberg reports grants, personal fees and non-financial support from GSK, grants and personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Kamada, Vertex pharmaceuticals, Teva and Zambon, non-financial support from Actelion and Rafa, outside the submitted work. C.S. Ulrik reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, GSK, Chiesi, Orion Pharma and TEVA, grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Sanofi Genzyme and Novartis, grants from Mundipharma, outside the submitted work. D. Stolz reports grants from AstraZeneca AG, Curetis AG and Boston Scientific, lecture fees from AstraZeneca AG, Novartis AG, GSK AG, Roche AG, Zambon, Pfizer, Schwabe Pharma AG and Vifor AG, outside the submitted work. T. Welte reports grants from German Ministry of Health, German Ministry of Research and Education, DFG, WHO and EU, during the conduct of the study; personal fees for lectures/advisory board work from Roche, AstraZeneca, Boehringer, GSK and Novartis, outside the submitted work. S. Aliberti reports personal fees for advisory board work and research support from Bayer Healthcare, personal fees for lectures from Grifols and Menarini, personal fees for advisory board work from AstraZeneca and ZetaCube Srl, personal fees for advisory board work and lectures from Zambon and GlaxoSmithKline, grants and personal fees advisory board work and lectures from Chiesi and INSMED, grants from Fisher & Paykel, outside the submitted work. T. Tonia acts as ERS Methodologist. J.D. Chalmers reports grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline and Inmed, personal fees from Chiesi, Novartis and Zambon, grants from Gilead Sciences, outside the submitted work. The remaining authors disclose no potential conflicts of interest.

Support statement: Funded by the European Respiratory Society through the COVID-19 Living Guidelines Task Force (2020-14). Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

## References

- 1 Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, *et al.* Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 497–506.
- 2 Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, *et al.* A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382: 727–733.
- 3 da Costa VG, Moreli ML, Saivish MV. The emergence of SARS, MERS and novel SARS-2 coronaviruses in the 21st century. *Arch Virol* 2020; 165: 1517–1526.
- 4 Xu J, Cao B. Lessons learnt from hydroxychloroquine/azithromycin in treatment of COVID-19. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2102002.
- 5 Yan D, Liu X-Y, Zhu Y-N, *et al.* Factors associated with prolonged viral shedding and impact of lopinavir/ritonavir treatment in hospitalised non-critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2000799.
- 6 Chalmers JD, Crichton ML, Goeminne PC, *et al.* Management of hospitalised adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a European Respiratory Society living guideline. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2100048.
- 7 Aliberti S, Radovanovic D, Billi F, *et al.* Helmet CPAP treatment in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia: a multicentre cohort study. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2001935.
- 8 Abani O, Abbas A, Abbas F, *et al.* Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 1637–1645.
- 9 Mason RJ. Pathogenesis of COVID-19 from a cell biology perspective. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55: 2000607.
- 10 Mann ER, Menon M, Knight SB, *et al.* Longitudinal immune profiling reveals key myeloid signatures associated with COVID-19. *Sci Immunol* 2020; 5: eabd6197.
- 11 Yu H-Q, Sun B-Q, Fang Z-F, *et al.* Distinct features of SARS-CoV-2-specific IgA response in COVID-19 patients. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2001526.
- 12 Quijada H, Bermudez T, Kempf CL, *et al.* Endothelial eNAMPT amplifies pre-clinical acute lung injury: efficacy of an eNAMPT-neutralising monoclonal antibody. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2002536.
- 13 Jia H, Liu C, Li D, *et al.* Metabolomic analyses reveal new stage-specific features of COVID-19. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2100284.
- 14 Chalmers JD. Pandemic trials: evidence-based medicine on steroids. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2004116.
- 15 Miravittles M, Tonia T, Rigau D, *et al.* New era for European Respiratory Society clinical practice guidelines: joining efficiency and high methodological standards. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 51: 1800221.

- 16 Bai C, Chotirmall SH, Rello J, *et al.* Updated guidance on the management of COVID-19: from an American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society coordinated International Task Force (29 July 2020). *Eur Respir Rev* 2020; 29: 200287.
- 17 Spruit MA, Holland AE, Singh SJ, *et al.* COVID-19: interim guidance on rehabilitation in the hospital and post-hospital phase from a European Respiratory Society- and American Thoracic Society-coordinated international task force. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2002197.
- 18 Janssen DJA, Ekström M, Currow DC, *et al.* COVID-19: guidance on palliative care from a European Respiratory Society international task force. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2002583.
- 19 Roche N, Tonia T, Miravittles M, *et al.* Expanding the spectrum of European Respiratory Society official scientific documents: short documents complement clinical practice guidelines, statements and technical standards. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55: 2001030.
- 20 Chalmers JD, Laska IF, Franssen FME, *et al.* Withdrawal of inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: a European Respiratory Society guideline. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55: 2000351.
- 21 Kolb M, Dinh-Xuan AT, Brochard L. Guideline-directed management of COVID-19: Do's and Don'ts. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2100753.
- 22 Crichton ML, Goeminne PC, Tuand K, *et al.* The impact of therapeutics on mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: systematic review and meta-analyses informing the European Respiratory Society living guideline. *Eur Respir Rev* 2021; 30: 210171.
- 23 Vogel JP, Dowswell T, Lewin S, *et al.* Developing and applying a 'living guidelines' approach to WHO recommendations on maternal and perinatal health. *BMJ Glob Health* 2019; 4: e001683.
- 24 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, *et al.* GRADE guidelines: 2. Framing the question and deciding on important outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011; 64: 395–400.
- 25 RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, *et al.* Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384: 693–704.
- 26 Taboada M, Rodríguez N, Varela PM, *et al.* Effect of high versus low dose of dexamethasone on clinical worsening in patients hospitalised with moderate or severe COVID-19 pneumonia: an open-label, randomised clinical trial. *Eur Respir J* 2021; in press [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02518-2021>].
- 27 REMAP-CAP Investigators, Mouncey PR, Al-Beidh F, *et al.* Interleukin-6 receptor antagonists in critically ill patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384: 1491–1502.
- 28 Hermine O, Mariette X, Porcher R, *et al.* Effect of interleukin-6 receptor antagonists in critically ill adult patients with COVID-19 pneumonia: two randomised controlled trials of the CORIMUNO-19 Collaborative Group. *Eur Respir J* 2022; in press [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02523-2021>].
- 29 Sivapalan P, Ulrik CS, Lapperre TS, *et al.* Azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine in hospitalised patients with confirmed COVID-19: a randomised double-blinded placebo-controlled trial. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2100752.
- 30 RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Colchicine in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 1419–1426.
- 31 Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG, *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in mild-to-moderate Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383: 2041–2052.
- 32 Lopes RD, de Barros e Silva PGM, Furtado RHM, *et al.* Therapeutic versus prophylactic anticoagulation for patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 and elevated D-dimer concentration (ACTION): an open-label, multicentre, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 2253–2263.
- 33 Lemos ACB, do Espírito Santo DA, Salvetti MC, *et al.* Therapeutic versus prophylactic anticoagulation for severe COVID-19: a randomized phase II clinical trial (HESACOVID). *Thromb Res* 2020; 196: 359–366.
- 34 Spyropoulos AC, Goldin M, Giannis D, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of therapeutic-dose heparin vs standard prophylactic or intermediate-dose heparins for thromboprophylaxis in high-risk hospitalized patients with COVID-19: the HEP-COVID randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2021; 181: 1612–1620.
- 35 REMAP-CAP Investigators; ACTIV-4a Investigators; ATTACC Investigators, Goligher D, Bradbury D, *et al.* Therapeutic anticoagulation with heparin in critically ill patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 385: 777–789.
- 36 ATTACC Investigators; ACTIV-4a Investigators; REMAP-CAP Investigators, Lawler PR, Goligher EC, *et al.* Therapeutic anticoagulation with heparin in noncritically ill patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 385: 790–802.
- 37 Perkins GD, Ji C, Connolly BA, *et al.* Effect of noninvasive respiratory strategies on intubation or mortality among patients with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure and COVID-19: the RECOVERY-RS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2022; 327: 546–558.
- 38 Brusasco C, Corradi F, Di Domenico A, *et al.* Continuous positive airway pressure in COVID-19 patients with moderate-to-severe respiratory failure. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2002524.
- 39 Guy T, Créac'hcadec A, Ricordel C, *et al.* High-flow nasal oxygen: a safe, efficient treatment for COVID-19 patients not in an ICU. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2001154.

- 40 RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Casirivimab and imdevimab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *medRxiv* 2021; preprint [<https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.15.21258542>].
- 41 Syed AM, Ciling A, Khalid MM, *et al.* Omicron mutations enhance infectivity and reduce antibody neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles. *medRxiv* 2022; preprint [<https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.20.21268048>].
- 42 Marconi VC, Ramanan AV, de Bono S, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of baricitinib for the treatment of hospitalised adults with COVID-19 (COV-BARRIER): a randomised, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 1407–1418.
- 43 Kalil A, Patterson TF, Mehta KM, *et al.* Baricitinib plus remdesivir for hospitalized adults with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384: 795–807.
- 44 Ely EW, Ramanan AV, Kartman CE, *et al.* Baricitinib plus standard of care for hospitalised adults with COVID-19 on invasive mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: results of a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *medRxiv* 2021; preprint [<https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21263897>].
- 45 RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby PW, Emberson JR, *et al.* Baricitinib in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial and updated meta-analysis. *medRxiv* 2022; preprint [<https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.02.22271623>].
- 46 Karim SSA, Karim QA. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 2021; 398: 2126–2128.