



Practices of therapeutic drug monitoring in tuberculosis: an international survey

Ioana Margineanu ¹, Onno Akkerman^{2,3}, Dario Cattaneo^{4,12}, Delia Goletti ^{5,12}, Deborah J.E. Marriott^{6,12}, Giovanni Battista Migliori ^{7,12}, Fuad Mirzayev^{8,12}, Charles A. Peloquin^{9,12}, Ymkje Stienstra¹⁰ and Jan-Willem Alffenaar¹¹

¹Dept of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, University Medical Centrum Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. ²University of Groningen, University Medical Centrum Groningen, Dept of Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis, Groningen, The Netherlands. ³University Of Groningen, University Medical Centrum Groningen, TB center Beatrixoord, Groningen, The Netherlands. ⁴Unit of Clinical Pharmacology, ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco University Hospital, Milan, Italy. ⁵Translational Research Unit, National Institute for Infectious Diseases (INMI), Rome, Italy. ⁶Dept of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, St Vincent's Hospital, Sydney, Australia. ⁷Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri IRCCS, Tradate, Italy. ⁸Global TB Programme, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. ⁹University of Florida, College of Pharmacy and Emerging Pathogens Institute, Gainesville, FL, USA. ¹⁰Dept of Internal Medicine, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, University Medical Centrum Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. ¹¹School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ¹²In alphabetical order.

Corresponding author: Ioana Margineanu (i.s.margineanu@umcg.nl)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

Survey responses indicate that there is concern surrounding cost-effectiveness and the resources available in different settings to implement therapeutic drug monitoring in TB. Robust research is needed to better inform of the potential long-term benefits. https://bit.ly/34PFSfd

Cite this article as: Margineanu I, Akkerman O, Cattaneo D, et al. Practices of therapeutic drug monitoring in tuberculosis: an international survey. Eur Respir J 2022; 59: 2102787 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.02787-2021].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Copyright ©The authors 2022.

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 2 Nov 2021 Accepted: 2 Jan 2022 To the Editor:

Tuberculosis (TB) is still one of the top 10 causes of death in low and lower-middle income countries [1]. TB's long and complex treatment, side-effects, and development of resistant bacteria compromise treatment success. To improve treatment outcomes, therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) has been included in TB treatment guidelines [2–4] to be considered for specific situations in which there is documented or expected poor response to treatment, drug toxicity, or a lower drug concentration. Several strategies for implementation of TDM for programmatic use have been proposed to overcome barriers to widespread use of TDM [5, 6], including more accessible techniques such as dried blood spot analysis or saliva and urine testing [7], but uptake in programmatic care is still limited [8].



