



Awake prone positioning and oxygen therapy in patients with COVID-19: the APRONOX study

Orlando R. Perez-Nieto ¹, Diego Escarraman-Martinez ¹, Manuel A. Guerrero-Gutierrez ¹,
Eder I. Zamarron-Lopez ⁴, Javier Mancilla-Galindo ^{5,6}, Ashuin Kammar-García ⁷,
Miguel A. Martinez-Camacho ⁸, Ernesto Deloya-Tomás ¹, Jesús S. Sanchez-Díaz ⁹,
Luis A. Macías-García ¹⁰, Raúl Soriano-Orozco ¹¹, Gabriel Cruz-Sánchez ¹², José D. Salmeron-Gonzalez ¹³,
Marco A. Toledo-Rivera ¹⁴, Ivette Mata-Maqueda ¹⁵, Luis A. Morgado-Villaseñor ¹⁶,
Jenner J. Martinez-Mazariegos ¹⁷, Raymundo Flores Ramirez ¹⁸, Josue L. Medina-Estrada ¹⁹ and
Silvio A. Ñamendys-Silva ^{1,20} on behalf of the APRONOX Group ²¹

¹Intensive Care Unit, Hospital General San Juan del Rio, Querétaro, Mexico. ²Dept of Anaesthesia, Hospital de Especialidades Centro Médico Nacional “LaRaza”, Mexico City, Mexico. ³Dept of Critical Care Medicine, Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, Mexico City, Mexico. ⁴Intensive Care Unit, Hospital CEMAIN Tampico, Tamaulipas, Mexico. ⁵Unidad de Investigación UNAM-INC, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Mexico City, Mexico. ⁶Respiratory Medicine, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Mexico City, Mexico. ⁷Emergency Dept, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición “Salvador Zubirán”, Mexico City, Mexico. ⁸Intensive Care Unit, Hospital General de México, Mexico City, Mexico. ⁹Intensive Care Unit, Hospital de Alta Especialidad IMSS “Adolfo Ruiz Cortines” Veracruz, Veracruz, Mexico. ¹⁰Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Regional ISSSTE “Fernando Quiroz Gutiérrez”, Mexico City, Mexico. ¹¹Intensive Care Unit, Hospital de Alta Especialidad T1 IMSS, León, Mexico. ¹²Intensive Care Unit, Clínica Hospital Mérida ISSSTE, Yucatán, Mexico. ¹³Intensive Care Unit, Hospital General “Miguel Silva”, Morelia, Mexico. ¹⁴Intensive Care Unit, Hospital SEDNA, Mexico City, Mexico. ¹⁵Secretaría de Salud del Estado de Querétaro, Ethics and Research Committee, Mexico. ¹⁶Intensive Care Unit, Hospital General de Zona IMSS No. 15 Reynosa, Tamaulipas, Mexico. ¹⁷Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Vida Mejor ISSSTECH Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, Mexico. ¹⁸Intensive Care Unit, Hospital de Especialidades “5 de Mayo” ISSSTEP, Puebla, Mexico. ¹⁹Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Regional No. 1 IMSS “Vicente Guerrero”, Acapulco, Mexico. ²⁰Division of Pulmonary, Anaesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición “Salvador Zubirán”, Mexico City, Mexico. ²¹A complete list of members of the APRONOX Group is provided in appendix 1 in the supplementary material.

Corresponding author: Orlando Perez-Nieto (orlando_rpn@hotmail.com)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

Awake prone positioning in nonintubated hospitalised patients with COVID-19 was associated with a lower risk of intubation and mortality in the APRONOX multicentre observational study <https://bit.ly/3Atb92Z>

Cite this article as: Perez-Nieto OR, Escarraman-Martinez D, Guerrero-Gutierrez MA, et al. Awake prone positioning and oxygen therapy in patients with COVID-19: the APRONOX study. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2100265 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00265-2021].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Abstract

Background The awake prone positioning strategy for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome is a safe, simple and cost-effective technique used to improve hypoxaemia. We aimed to evaluate intubation and mortality risk in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) who underwent awake prone positioning during hospitalisation.

Methods In this retrospective, multicentre observational study conducted between 1 May 2020 and 12 June 2020 in 27 hospitals in Mexico and Ecuador, nonintubated patients with COVID-19 managed with awake prone or awake supine positioning were included to evaluate intubation and mortality risk through logistic regression models; multivariable and centre adjustment, propensity score analyses, and E-values were calculated to limit confounding.

Results 827 nonintubated patients with COVID-19 in the awake prone (n=505) and awake supine (n=322) groups were included for analysis. Fewer patients in the awake prone group required endotracheal intubation (23.6% versus 40.4%) or died (19.8% versus 37.3%). Awake prone positioning was a protective factor for intubation even after multivariable adjustment (OR 0.35, 95% CI 0.24–0.52; p<0.0001, E=2.12), which prevailed after propensity score analysis (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.27–0.62; p<0.0001, E=1.86) and mortality (adjusted OR 0.38, 95% CI 0.26–0.55; p<0.0001, E=2.03). The main variables associated with

Copyright ©The authors 2022.

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

This article has an editorial commentary:
<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02416-2021>

Received: 28 Jan 2021
Accepted: 26 June 2021



intubation among awake prone patients were increasing age, lower baseline peripheral arterial oxygen saturation/inspiratory oxygen fraction ratio (P_{aO_2}/F_{IO_2}) and management with a nonrebreather mask.

Conclusions Awake prone positioning in hospitalised nonintubated patients with COVID-19 is associated with a lower risk of intubation and mortality.