



Reply to: When adopting Global Lung Function Initiative reference values, can we also adapt them to a local context as needed?

Sanja Stanojevic¹, Brendan Cooper², Nicole Filipow³, Jane Kirkby⁴, Gregg Ruppel ⁵,
Irene Steenbruggen ⁶, Bruce Thompson⁷ and Graham Hall^{8,9}

¹Dept of Community Health and Epidemiology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. ²Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Lung Investigation Unit, Birmingham, UK. ³Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK. ⁴Sheffield Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Respiratory Laboratory, Sheffield, UK. ⁵Dept of Pulmonary Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Saint Louis University, Saint Louis, MO, USA. ⁶Lung Function Laboratory, Isala Klinieken, Zwolle, The Netherlands. ⁷School of Health Sciences, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia. ⁸Children's Lung Health, Wal-yan Respiratory Research Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, Perth, Australia. ⁹School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Curtin University, Perth, Australia.

Sanja Stanojevic (sanja.stanojevic@dal.ca)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

Global Lung Function Initiative reference equations help to standardise interpretation of pulmonary function tests; however, there is a need to balance precision and generalisability with the uncertainty of interpretation <https://bit.ly/3iheRFv>

Cite this article as: Stanojevic S, Cooper B, Filipow N, *et al.* Reply to: When adopting Global Lung Function Initiative reference values, can we also adapt them to a local context as needed?. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2102020 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.02020-2021].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Copyright ©The authors 2021. For reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 20 July 2021
Accepted: 21 July 2021

Reply to S. Verbanck and co-workers:

We thank S. Verbanck and co-workers for their insightful response to the recent official European Respiratory Society technical standards for Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) reference lung volumes [1]. The data presented highlight the real-world impact of using published equations and how equipment or protocol offsets can impact clinical interpretation. We generally agree with S. Verbanck and co-workers that more precise approaches are ideal, and that is the ultimate goal of the GLI Network.