



Viable virus aerosol propagation by positive airway pressure circuit leak and mitigation with a ventilated patient hood

Shane A. Landry¹, Jeremy J. Barr ^{©2}, Martin I. MacDonald ^{©3}, Dinesh Subedi², Darren Mansfield^{3,4,5}, Garun S. Hamilton^{3,4,5}, Bradley A. Edwards^{1,6} and Simon A. Joosten^{3,4,5}

Affiliations: ¹Dept of Physiology, School of Biomedical Sciences and Biomedical Discovery Institute, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia. ²School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Australia. ³Monash Lung and Sleep, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, Australia. ⁴School of Clinical Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia. ⁵Monash Partners – Epworth, Victoria, Australia. ⁶Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

Correspondence: Shane A. Landry, Sleep and Circadian Medicine Laboratory, Ground Floor, Monash University BASE Facility, 264 Ferntree Gully Road, Notting Hill, Victoria 3168, Australia. E-mail: shane.landry@monash.edu

@ERSpublications

This live virus model demonstrates that PAP mask leak maybe a major source of environmental contamination and nosocomial spread of infectious respiratory diseases. A simply constructed ventilated hood with a HEPA filter is an efficacious countermeasure. https://bit.ly/2HUiJgn

Cite this article as: Landry SA, Barr JJ, MacDonald MI, *et al.* Viable virus aerosol propagation by positive airway pressure circuit leak and mitigation with a ventilated patient hood. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2003666 [https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.03666-2020].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Nosocomial transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been a major feature of the COVID-19 pandemic. Evidence suggests patients can auto-emit aerosols containing viable viruses; these aerosols could be further propagated when patients undergo certain treatments, including continuous positive airway pressure (PAP) therapy. Our aim was to assess 1) the degree of viable virus propagated from PAP circuit mask leak and 2) the efficacy of a ventilated plastic canopy to mitigate virus propagation.

Methods: Bacteriophage phiX174 (10⁸ copies·mL⁻¹) was nebulised into a custom PAP circuit. Mask leak was systematically varied at the mask interface. Plates containing *Escherichia coli* host quantified viable virus (*via* plaque forming unit) settling on surfaces around the room. The efficacy of a low-cost ventilated headboard created from a tarpaulin hood and a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter was tested.

Results: Mask leak was associated with virus contamination in a dose-dependent manner (χ^2 =58.24, df=4, p<0.001). Moderate mask leak (\geqslant 21 L·min⁻¹) was associated with virus counts equivalent to using PAP with a vented mask. The highest frequency of viruses was detected on surfaces <1 m away; however, viable viruses were recorded up to 3.86 m from the source. A plastic hood with HEPA filtration significantly reduced viable viruses on all plates. HEPA exchange rates \geqslant 170 m³·h⁻¹ eradicated all evidence of virus contamination.

Conclusions: Mask leak from PAP may be a major source of environmental contamination and nosocomial spread of infectious respiratory diseases. Subclinical mask leak levels should be treated as an infectious risk. Low-cost patient hoods with HEPA filtration are an effective countermeasure.

Copyright ©ERS 2021. For reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org