



SHAREABLE PDF

What is behind programmatic treatment outcome definitions for tuberculosis?

Zaza Avaliani¹, Ogtay Gozalov², Giorgi Kuchukhidze², Alena Skrahina³, Viorel Soltan⁴, Martin van den Boom², Irina Vasilyeva⁵, Valentina Vilc⁶ and Askar Yedilbayev²

Affiliations: ¹National Center for Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases, Tbilisi, Georgia. ²WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark. ³Republican Research and Practical Center for Pulmonology and Tuberculosis, Minsk, Belarus. ⁴Stop TB Partnership, Geneva, Switzerland. ⁵National Medical Research Center of Phthisiopulmonology and Infectious Diseases, Moscow, Russian Federation. ⁶Institute of Phthisiopneumology, Chisinau, Republic of Moldova.

Correspondence: Askar Yedilbayevb, WHO Regional Office for Europe, UN City, Marmorvej 51, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. E-mail: yedilbayeva@who.int



@ERSpublications

Rationale for programmatic TB definitions in management of TB and MDR-TB <https://bit.ly/36BYD2E>

Cite this article as: Avaliani Z, Gozalov O, Kuchukhidze G, *et al.* What is behind programmatic treatment outcome definitions for tuberculosis? *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2001751 [<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01751-2020>].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Following the launch of the DOTS (directly observed treatment, short-course) strategy in 1995, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended the evaluation of treatment outcomes [1, 2]. The methodology and the forms for recording and reporting, in order to programmatically evaluate the proportion of tuberculosis (TB) cases achieving treatment success (“cured” and “treatment completed”; table 1) or dying, failing treatment and being lost to follow-up, were initially developed by Karel Styblo in the 1980s as part of the pilot programme of TB control in Tanzania [1, 2].