





Observational studies and "the eye of the beholder"

Miriam J. Johnson^{1,2}, Magnus Ekström^{2,3} and David C. Currow^{1,2}

Affiliations: ¹Wolfson Palliative Care Research Centre, University of Hull, Hull, UK. ²IMPACCT, Faculty of Health, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, Australia. ³Lund University, Faculty of Medicine, Dept of Clinical Sciences Lund, Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Lund, Sweden.

Correspondence: Miriam J. Johnson, Wolfson Palliative Care Research Centre, University of Hull, Allam Medical Building, Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK. E-mail: miriam.johnson@hyms.ac.uk

@ERSpublications

Observational studies need explanations in both directions of association to avoid interpretation bias https://bit.ly/3d7kLnG

Cite this article as: Johnson MJ, Ekström M, Currow DC. Observational studies and "the eye of the beholder". *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56: 2001637 [https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01637-2020].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

To the Editor:

NWARU et al. [1] report a dose-related association between short-acting β_2 -agonists (SABA) and poorer clinical outcomes (exacerbations, emergency department visits, hospital admissions and mortality) in asthma. Acknowledging that observational studies cannot establish causality, they conclude: "Regardless of whether there is a causal effect of SABA use and these adverse effects, or if they are mainly a marker for more severe asthma and/or a reflection of the frailty of the patients, increased use of SABA should alert clinicians to monitor these patients more closely." These are important conclusions that should inform clinical care and be incorporated into international guidelines.