



SHAREABLE PDF

Effects of suboptimal adherence of CPAP therapy on symptoms of obstructive sleep apnoea: a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial

Thomas Gaisl ^{1,5}, Protazy Rejmer^{1,5}, Sira Thiel ¹, Sarah R. Haile ², Martin Osswald ¹, Malgorzata Roos ², Konrad E. Bloch ¹, John R. Stradling ³ and Malcolm Kohler^{1,4}

Affiliations: ¹Dept of Pulmonology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ²Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Prevention Institute, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ³National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), Oxford Biomedical Research Centre based at Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ⁴Centre for Interdisciplinary Sleep Research, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ⁵Both authors contributed equally.

Correspondence: Malcolm Kohler, Chair of Respiratory Medicine, University Hospital Zurich, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: malcolm.kohler@usz.ch



@ERSpublications

Patients with obstructive sleep apnoea and daytime sleepiness are still getting a substantial benefit from suboptimal CPAP adherence (i.e. 3–4 h per night), albeit not as much as they might get if they adhered more <http://bit.ly/2Phgeo2>

Cite this article as: Gaisl T, Rejmer P, Thiel S, *et al.* Effects of suboptimal adherence of CPAP therapy on symptoms of obstructive sleep apnoea: a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55: 1901526 [https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01526-2019].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) is currently the treatment of choice for sleepiness in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA); however, adherence is often thought to be suboptimal. We investigated the effects of suboptimal CPAP usage on objective and subjective sleepiness parameters in patients with OSA.

Material and methods: In this 2-week, parallel, double-blind, randomised controlled trial we enrolled moderate-to-severe OSA patients with excessive pre-treatment daytime sleepiness (Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS) score >10 points) who had suboptimal CPAP adherence over ≥12 months (mean nightly usage time 3–4 h). Patients were allocated through minimisation to either subtherapeutic CPAP (“sham CPAP”) or continuation of CPAP (“therapeutic CPAP”). A Bayesian analysis with historical priors calculated the posterior probability of superiority.

Results: Between May, 2016 and November, 2018, 57 patients (aged 60±8 years, 79% male, 93% Caucasian) were allocated in total, and 52 who completed the study (50% in each arm) were included in the final analysis. The unadjusted ESS score increase was 2.4 points (95% CI 0.6–4.2, p=0.01) in the sham-CPAP group when compared to continuing therapeutic CPAP. The probability of superiority of therapeutic CPAP over sham CPAP was 90.4% for ESS, 90.1% for systolic blood pressure and 80.3% for diastolic blood pressure.

Conclusions: Patients with moderate-to-severe OSA and daytime sleepiness are still getting a substantial benefit from suboptimal CPAP adherence, albeit not as much as they might get if they adhered more. Whether a similar statement can be made for even lower adherence levels remains to be established in future trials.