- **3** Hak E, Wei F, Nordin J, Mullooly J, Poblete S, Nichol KL. Development and validation of a clinical prediction rule for hospitalization due to pneumonia or influenza or death during influenza epidemics among community-dwelling elderly persons. *J Infect Dis* 2004; 189: 450–458.
- **4** Lim WS, van der Eerden MM, Laing R, *et al.* Defining community acquired pneumonia severity on presentation to hospital: an international derivation and validation study. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 377–382.
- **5** Barlow G, Nathwani D, Davey P. The CURB65 pneumonia severity score outperforms generic sepsis and early warning scores in predicting mortality in community-acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2007; 62: 253–259.
- **6** Teramoto S, Ishii T, Yamamoto H, *et al.* Significance of chronic cough as a defence mechanism or a symptom in elderly patients with aspiration and aspiration pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 25: 210–211.
- **7** Teramoto S, Matsuse T, Fukuchi Y, Ouchi Y. Simple twostep swallowing provocation test for elderly patients with aspiration pneumonia. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 1243.
- 8 Teramoto S, Ishii T, Yamamoto H, Yamaguchi Y, Ouchi Y. Nasogastric tube feeding is a cause of aspiration pneumonia in ventilated patients. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 27: 436–437.
- **9** Teramoto S, Yamamoto H, Yamaguchi Y, *et al.* ACE inhibitors prevent aspiration pneumonia in Asian, but not Caucasian, elderly patients with stroke. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29: 218–219.
- **10** Chen JH, Lamberg JL, Chen YC, *et al.* Occurrence and treatment of suspected pneumonia in long-term care residents dying with advanced dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2006; 54: 290–295.

DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00120807

From the authors:

We would like to thank S. Teramoto and co-workers for the important issues they raised. While appraising their comments, it is important to make a distinction between the use of severity rules inside and outside hospital settings. Looking at the available literature, we think that the pneumonia severity index (PSI) and CURB-65 (Confusion, Urea $>7 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, Respiratory rate \geq 30 breaths min⁻¹, Blood pressure (systolic value <90 mmHg or diastolic value ≤ 60 mmHg)) are both valid and useful in hospital settings. However, it is an interesting suggestion to improve CURB-65 by introducing more detailed age groups in the score. In primary care, PSI and CURB-65 are less useful for various reasons. Regarding the predictive value of age, the results of our study [1] showed that age >80 vrs was a better predictor of outcome than age categories between 65-80 yrs. Probably as there are a lot of healthy individuals aged 65–80 yrs in primary care who have a low risk for poor outcome.

T. Verheij, J. Bont, E. Hak and A. Hoes

University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

STATEMENT OF INTEREST None declared.

REFERENCES

1 Bont J, Hak E, Hoes AW, Schipper M, Schellevis FG, Verheij TJ. A prediction rule for elderly primary-care patients with lower respiratory tract infections. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29: 969–975.

DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00132907

Oral antibiotics prior to hospitalisation for communityacquired pneumonia

To the Editor:

SCHAAF *et al.* [1] postulate that antibiotics prior to hospitalisation with community-acquired pneumonia may be protective because of a slightly lower death rate and lower C-reactive protein concentration, leukocyte count and acute physiology score in the 13 out of 105 patients that received them. Since AUSTRIAN and GOLD [2] demonstrated a reduction in mortality from 80 to 17% in bacteraemic pneumococcal infections treated with penicillin, the death rate for this condition has changed little. A 2006 study has suggested that deaths in patients with community-acquired pneumonia are far more likely to be due to host factors rather than antibiotic choices [3].

It is possible that such host factors could lead to some patients having better outcomes, subacute presentations and more time before hospitalisation in which to receive oral antibiotics. Conversely, those patients with worse outcomes may show more acute presentations, removing the option of pre-hospitalisation antibiotics. Information on the number of days that patients were unwell prior to admission may help to answer this in part. Given the inaccuracy with which doctors make the diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia, this is an important point [4–6], since pharmaceutical companies might be predicted to use potentially misleading conclusions such as this to encourage primary care physicians to prescribe antibiotics to anyone who might have community-acquired pneumonia, with potential for increased levels of antibiotic resistance, unnecessary costs and potential side-effects.

P.G.P. Charles

Dept of Infectious Diseases, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Australia.

STATEMENT OF INTEREST

None declared.