

EDITORIAL

Blood gas estimations from *arterialized* capillary blood versus arterial puncture: are they different?

J.M.B. Hughes

Blood gas estimations from *arterialized* capillary blood versus arterial puncture: are they different? The answer to this question is that the oxygen tension (PO_2) of arterial blood must be higher than the PO_2 of so-called *arterialized* blood flowing freely from the ear lobe after it has been pierced by a scalpel. This is because there is a gradient of PO_2 from around 13 kPa (98 mmHg) at the arterial end of the capillary bed to 5 kPa (38 mmHg) at the venous end. Fluid collected from the cut ear lobe is a mixture of blood from capillaries and venules. Nevertheless, it is well-known that under certain circumstances, the differences are so small that the arterial and *arterialized* estimations are, for practical purposes, identical. How is this possible?

As already mentioned, the normal arteriovenous difference for PO_2 at rest is 8 kPa (60 mmHg), increasing to 10 kPa (75 mmHg) on light exercise and to 70.7 kPa (530 mmHg) at least when breathing 100% oxygen. This difference can be reduced by increasing ear lobe blood flow relative to oxygen consumption by vasodilatation, either by heat or by application of a vasoactive cream. No one knows the magnitude of the changes induced in the human ear lobe by such manoeuvres, but it would be interesting to find out! For example, increasing the ratio of blood flow to oxygen consumption fivefold would reduce the arteriovenous oxygen content difference from 5 mL per 100 mL to 1 mL per 100 mL, and the arteriovenous PO_2 difference to 4.0 kPa (30 mmHg), assuming a normal PO_2 of 13 kPa (98 mmHg). Provided sufficient vasodilatation could be achieved, arterial and venous PO_2 in the ear lobe would tend to converge, and the *arterialized* PO_2 would come to resemble the arterial PO_2 .

As indicated by SAUTY *et al.* [1] in this issue, the arteriovenous PO_2 difference depends on the shape of the oxygen dissociation curve (ODC). As the arterial PO_2 falls, the arteriovenous PO_2 difference falls also, to 3.5 kPa (26 mmHg) at PO_2 8 kPa (60 mmHg) and to 2.3 kPa (17 mmHg) at PO_2 6 kPa (45 mmHg). With vasodilatation, these differences might reduce to 1.2 kPa (9 mmHg) and 0.67 kPa (5 mmHg), respectively. Thus, we might expect convergence of arterial and *arterialized* PO_2 values at PO_2 <8 kPa (60 mmHg) and some divergence when the arteriovenous PO_2 difference is higher, especially in hyperoxia. In fact, this is what is found.

In two recent studies [1, 2], where a Bland and Altman analysis of differences was used, there was a definite trend for the arterial-*arterialized* PO_2 difference, plotted against the mean of the two estimates, to increase as the mean PO_2 (breathing air) increased; excluding one point in the study by PITKIN *et al.* [2], there seemed to be a threshold at 9.3 kPa (70 mmHg) above which a significant divergence first appeared. The overall differences were small, but arterial PO_2 was systematically higher than *arterialized* PO_2 by 0.61 kPa (4.6±4.6 (SD) mmHg) in the study by SAUTY *et al.* [1] (n=115) and by 0.17 kPa (1.28 mmHg) (n=40) in the other series [2]. An earlier study [3] found a much bigger difference under hyperoxic conditions; the arterial-*arterialized* PO_2 difference averaged 6 kPa (45 mmHg) at a mean arterial PO_2 of 68.8 kPa (516 mmHg) (n=18).

A spurious elevation of *arterialized* PO_2 (breathing air) may occur if the collection is not fully anaerobic, and the blood is partially exposed to room air during the sampling period [3]. This artefact acts in the opposite sense to the arteriovenous PO_2 gradient or venous admixture effect, and the two errors cancel out. This may explain the excellent agreement, independent of the level of arterial PO_2 , between arterial and *arterialized* PO_2 reported by so many investigators (see [1–3] for bibliography). The "aerobic" effect may explain in part why *arterialized* PO_2 exceeded arterial PO_2 by up to 0.6 kPa (4.5 mmHg) in 17 out of 115 [1] and 18 out of 40 [2] of the comparisons in the latest series.

In the study by SAUTY *et al.* [1] the arterial-*arterialized* PO_2 difference ranged from -0.5 kPa (-3.8 mmHg) to +2.4 kPa (+18 mmHg) with 95% confidence intervals of +1.76 kPa (13 mmHg) and -0.6 kPa (4.4 mmHg). The variance in the study by PITKIN *et al.* [2] was similar. Is this sufficiently accurate for clinical work? The practical answer is that *arterialized* PO_2 will detect the presence of arterial hypoxaemia with adequate sensitivity and accuracy, but that there will be some false positives, where *arterialized* PO_2 suggests a greater degree of arterial hypoxaemia than is actually present. Thus, it is a "fail safe" technique. *Arterialized* PO_2 values greater than 10.7 kPa (80 mmHg) should be treated with a modicum of caution.

Once the drawbacks of the method are recognized, there are good arguments for the use of *arterialized* PO_2 measurements. Firstly, ear lobe sampling can be carried out by nonmedically qualified persons; this is not, in general, true for arterial puncture. Secondly, PO_2 can be

measured on exercise without the need to insert an arterial cannula. The most obvious pitfall is a failure to use or learn good technique. Vasodilatation, a free flow of blood and "anaerobic" sampling are all vital. Manual massaging of the ear lobe, to encourage better flow of blood, is not recommended. Each laboratory should check for quality control against simultaneous sampling of arterial blood.

Samples of *arterialized* PO_2 have definite advantages over measurements of arterial oxygen saturation (S_{a,O_2}) using a pulse oximeter. S_{a,O_2} is rather insensitive, because of the shape of the ODC, at $PO_2 > 10$ kPa (75 mmHg). Unfortunately, this is the PO_2 range at which the *arterialized* measurement performs least well! From *arterialized* blood carbon dioxide tension (PCO_2) and pH can be estimated, and important additional information is gained. As SAUTY *et al.* [1] point out, the arterial-*arterialized* PCO_2 difference is negligible (0.067 kPa (0.5 ± 1.5 mmHg)) because of the small arteriovenous PCO_2 difference at rest (0.8 kPa (6.0 mmHg)).

To conclude, *arterialized* ear lobe sampling can be a valuable measurement in clinical practice, but it is only

an approximation of the arterial PO_2 . The lower the arterial PO_2 , the more accurate the estimate becomes. SAUTY *et al.* [1] have performed a valuable service in reminding us that "ear lobe sampling is not a reliable mirror of arterial PO_2 in adult patients". But, provided that one is aware of the pitfalls, ear lobe sampling is a useful and noninvasive monitor of arterial PO_2 , superior to more indirect methods, such as transcutaneous PO_2 and calculations of PO_2 from S_{a,O_2} with pulse oximetry.

References

1. Sauty A, Uldry C, Debetaz L-F, Leuenberger P, Fitting J-W. Differences in PO_2 and PCO_2 between arterial and arterIALIZED ear lobe samples. *Eur Respir J* 1996; 9: 186-189.
2. Pitkin AD, Roberts CM, Wedzicha JA. ArterIALIZED ear lobe blood gas analysis: an underused technique. *Thorax* 1995; 49: 364-366.
3. Christoforides C, Miller JM. Clinical use and limitations of arterIALIZED capillary blood for PO_2 determination. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1968; 98: 653-657.