



Reply: Measurement of hypoxia in the lung in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a matter of control

Copyright ©The authors 2022.

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 15 Dec 2021
Accepted: 10 Jan 2022

Reply to P-S. Bellaye and co-workers:

We thank P-S. Bellaye and co-workers for their considered and insightful response. Given their finding of [¹⁸F]fluoromisonidazole ([¹⁸F]F-MISO) uptake in the bleomycin mouse model of fibrosis [1], we too were surprised not to demonstrate a similar signal in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). However, as acknowledged, there are other examples of positron emission tomography (PET) tracers, such as cis-4-[¹⁸F]-fluoro-L-proline, yielding PET signals in animal lung fibrosis models that have not been replicated in humans with fibrotic lung disease [2, 3].

PET quantification in the lung, and especially using the [¹⁸F]F-MISO ligand, is recognised as particularly challenging, and we adopted methodology proposed in a consensus document from the Society of Nuclear Medicine [4]. P-S. Bellaye and co-workers correctly point out that radiologically normal areas of the lung in IPF may be inappropriate controls if they convey an above background PET signal, as we have shown for [¹⁸F]FDG-PET [5]. To investigate this, we measured the uptake of [¹⁸F]F-MISO in radiologically normal areas of IPF lung and compared this with radiologically normal lung, distal to the tumour, in several lung cancer patients. We found slightly lower [¹⁸F]F-MISO uptake in the normal appearing areas of the IPF patients compared to lung cancer “normal lung” either using full standard PET quantification analysis (pulmonary uptake standardised uptake value (SUV) and tissue-to-blood ratio), including tissue correction [6] or with dynamic acquisition and full kinetic analysis. However, this did not consistently reach statistical significance. Moreover, our irreversible tracer binding parameter, k_5^* , showed there was no specific binding of F-MISO in either normal appearing or fibrotic regions of IPF lung. In addition, our dynamic data confirmed that the SUV was virtually the same at 120 min as it was at 220 min, indicating minimal binding of the irreversible F-MISO tracer.

We agree that small studies can generally be hampered by population heterogeneity. Indeed, our own study included patients with mild to severe disease based on lung function, but despite this we found no significant associations between [¹⁸F]F-MISO SUV_{mean} and physiological parameters, including forced vital capacity and transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide.

Finally, TANGUY *et al.* [1] have demonstrated a dramatic reduction of [¹⁸F]F-MISO uptake in response to antifibrotic treatment in pre-clinical models and it has been questioned if this was accounted for in our study. However, our patients were recruited and imaged before such treatments were widely available in the UK national health service (NHS) and only one of our patients was on antifibrotic treatment.



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

***In vivo* PET imaging in IPF patients shows no significant evidence of lung tissue hypoxia**

<https://bit.ly/3fywY7K>

Cite this article as: Porter JC, Win T, Erlandsson K, *et al.* Reply: Measurement of hypoxia in the lung in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a matter of control. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2103124 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.03124-2021].

Joanna C. Porter¹, Thida Win², Kjell Erlandsson³, Kris Thielemans³ and Ashley M. Groves³

¹Centre for Inflammation and Tissue Repair, UCL and the UCLH Interstitial Lung Disease Service, London, UK. ²Respiratory Medicine, Lister Hospital, Stevenage, UK. ³Institute of Nuclear Medicine, UCL/H, London, UK.

Corresponding author: Joanna C. Porter (joanna.porter@ucl.ac.uk)



Conflict of interest: J.C. Porter reports consulting fees from Carrick Therapeutics, as well as personal affiliation for payment or honoraria from Limbic. T. Win reports personal affiliations for payment or honoraria from AstraZeneca and Chiesi. K. Erlandsson has nothing to disclose. K. Thielemans has nothing to disclose. A.M. Groves reports funding from UK NIHR for personnel and scans.

Support statement: Part of the funding for this study originated with the UK Government funding *via* the National Institute of Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre.

References

- 1 Tanguy J, Goirand F, Bouchard A, *et al.* [18F]FMISO PET/CT imaging of hypoxia as a non-invasive biomarker of disease progression and therapy efficacy in a preclinical model of pulmonary fibrosis: comparison with the [18F]FDG PET/CT approach. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2021; 48: 3058–3074.
- 2 Wallace WE, Gupta NC, Hubbs AF, *et al.* Cis-4-[(18F)fluoro-L-proline PET imaging of pulmonary fibrosis in a rabbit model. *J Nucl Med* 2002; 43: 413–420.
- 3 Lavalaye J, Grutters JC, van de Garde EM, *et al.* Imaging of fibrogenesis in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with cis-4-[(18F)-Fluoro-L-proline PET. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2009; 11: 123–127.
- 4 Chen DL, Ballout S, Chen L, *et al.* Consensus recommendations on the use of (18)F-FDG PET/CT in lung disease. *J Nucl Med* 2020; 61: 1701–1707.
- 5 Win T, Thomas BA, Lambrou T, *et al.* Areas of normal pulmonary parenchyma on HRCT exhibit increased FDG PET signal in IPF patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2014; 41: 337–342.
- 6 Holman BF, Cuplov V, Millner L, *et al.* Improved correction for the tissue fraction effect in lung PET/CT imaging. *Phys Med Biol* 2015; 60: 7387–7402.