

## *In vitro* assessment of triple combination therapy for the most common disease-associated mutation in cystic fibrosis

## Han-I Yeh<sup>1</sup> and Tzyh-Chang Hwang<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept of Pharmacology, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>2</sup>Dept of Medical Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO, USA. <sup>3</sup>Dalton Cardiovascular Research Center, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO, USA.

Corresponding author: Tzyh-Chang Hwang (HwangT@health.missouri.edu)



studies have shown that VX-770 reduces the correction efficacy of VX-809 and VX-661 [11, 12]. With the newly developed corrector VX-445, whose mechanism of action likely differs from the type I correctors (*e.g.* VX-809 and VX-661 [13]), possible interactions between VX-770 and the two correctors in Trikafta need to be evaluated. The study by BECQ *et al.* [14] thus aimed to investigate the maturation and function of F508del-CFTR in airway epithelial cells pretreated with different combinations of the correctors, including VX-809, VX-661 and VX-445, and the potentiator VX-770.

The authors provided evidence that the correction of F508del-CFTR by Trikafta is dampened due to the presence of VX-770. Both Western blot and immunofluorescence analysis show that the expression of F508del-CFTR treated with VX-445 and VX-661 (referred to as 2VX) is diminished by the addition of VX-770 (i.e. 3VX) in human CF bronchial epithelial cell line CFBE410-. A similar observation was made with homozygous human bronchial epithelial (HBE) cells by KEATING et al. [9]. The negative impact of prolonged exposure to VX-770 on folding efficiency and plasma membrane stability of F508del-CFTR has been previously established [11, 12], and it is perhaps not surprising that Trikafta faces the same problem. What is puzzling, however, is why VX-770 abrogates the effect of correctors on F508del-CFTR, but not on wild-type- or G551D-CFTR. VEIT et al. [12] proposed that the unstable NBD1-NBD2 interface in F508del-CFTR [15] is responsible for VX-770-mediated destabilisation, but it seems hard to explain how VX-770 achieves the destabilising effect with its binding site located in the transmembrane domains [16, 17]. Moreover, the replacement of glycine with aspartate at position 551 (G551D) indeed destabilises the NBD interface [18], without rendering the channels to the adverse effect of VX-770 [12]. A recent study by LASELVA et al. [19] did point to an alternative binding site for VX-770 at the region around the fourth intracellular loop (ICL4) that interacts with F508 and other nearby residues, mutations of which cause folding defects. Even if this new binding site for VX-770 could account for the effect of VX-770 on the folding efficiency of F508del-CFTR, it would still be puzzling why VX-770 diminished the effect of correctors on other folding-defective mutations at positions farther away from this very region (e.g., E92K, S341P and D614G [20]). A more complete understanding of the mechanism for VX-770-induced destabilisation may await future solution of the atomic structures of F508del-CFTR and/or other folding-defective mutant CFTR.

Measuring the short-circuit currents of human airway epithelial cells, BECQ *et al.* [14] also demonstrated that the 3VX-treated cells are unresponsive to acute addition of VX-770. Similar results were obtained by VEIT *et al.* [13], who also showed that some other Triakfta-treated rare mutations with folding defects, including G85E, M1101K and N1303K, *etc.*, do not respond to acute application of VX-770, either. In contrast, the 2VX-incubated F508del cells can be potentiated by acute addition of VX-770, and the maximum current is greater than that of 3VX-treated cells. Although it is unclear why VX-770 fails to potentiate 3VX-treated F508del-CFTR activities, the authors at least ruled out the possibility that these channels had already assumed a maximally possible function with no room for further improvement: they showed that the activity of the 3VX-treated F508del-CFTR can be enhanced by genistein or Cact-A1, two other CFTR potentiators. Indeed, pharmacological synergy for different CFTR potentiators has been shown repeatedly [21–25]. Therefore, further optimisation of CF treatment can be attained by developing novel potentiators that can replace or work in synergy with VX-770, without negative impact on channel folding/stability.

These *in vitro* data, when extrapolated to *in vivo* conditions, may bear immediate clinical significance as patients taking Trikafta are in a state similar to prolonged exposure to VX-770, VX-661 and VX-445 (*i.e.* 3VX-corrected). The authors thus argued that, despite the well-documented efficacy of VX-770 as a potentiator, chronically Trikafta-rescued F508del-CFTR may somehow lose its responsiveness to VX-770. This finding implies that the necessity of the Trikfta's evening tablet, which contains only ivacaftor, might need further evaluation.

Of note, this work by BECQ *et al.* [14] compares the expression and function of F508del channels treated with 2VX or 3VX, where the two correctors VX-445 and VX-661 are always applied together. VX445 is a type III corrector, as opposed to the type I correctors VX809 and VX661 [13], and should work through a different mechanism of action. The susceptibility of VX-445-rescued F508del channels to VX-770's destabilising effect awaits further experiments.

Interestingly, a recent report by LASELVA et al. [26] revealed that VX-445 is both a corrector and a potentiator. Thus, the gating function of Trikafta-corrected F508del-CFTR is not only enhanced by VX-770 but also by VX-445, making the comparison between 2VX- and 3VX-treated F508del-CFTR even more complicated. One needs to consider if potentiation by VX-445 is affected by the presence of VX-770, as well as if prolonged treatment with VX-445 might also render the channel unresponsive to

acute addition of VX-445, as in the case of VX-770. While the interactions between VX-770 and dual-acting VX-445 are beyond the scope of this study, the authors have successfully raised our awareness of VX-770's acute and chronic effects on Trikafta-rescued F508del-CFTR, which lays the foundation for future investigation into the intricate interactions between CFTR correctors and potentiators.

Conflict of interest: H-I. Yeh has been supported by a research grant (#109-2320-B-010-049-MY2) from the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, to T-C. Hwang, who is also supported partly by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (Hwang19G0). T-C. Hwang is serving as a consultant for Nanova Inc.; through the University of Missouri, he has a service agreement with AbbVie Inc.

Support statement: This work was supported by Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Therapeutics (grant: Hwang19G0) and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (grant: 109-2320-B-010-049-MY2). Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

## References

- 1 Riordan JR, Rommens JM, Kerem B, *et al.* Identification of the cystic fibrosis gene: cloning and characterization of complementary DNA. *Science* 1989; 245: 1066–1073.
- 2 Lopes-Pacheco M. CFTR modulators: the changing face of cystic fibrosis in the era of precision medicine. *Front Pharmacol* 2020; 10: 1662.
- 3 Van Goor F, Hadida S, Grootenhuis PD, *et al.* Rescue of CF airway epithelial cell function *in vitro* by a CFTR potentiator, VX-770. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106: 18825–18830.
- 4 Jih KY, Hwang TC. Vx-770 potentiates CFTR function by promoting decoupling between the gating cycle and ATP hydrolysis cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013; 110: 4404–4409.
- 5 Eckford PDW, Li C, Ramjeesingh M, *et al.* Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) potentiator VX-770 (ivacaftor) opens the defective channel gate of mutant CFTR in a phosphorylation-dependent but ATP-independent manner. *J Biol Chem* 2012; 287: 36639–36649.
- **6** Van Goor F, Hadida S, Grootenhuis PD, *et al.* Correction of the F508del-CFTR protein processing defect *in vitro* by the investigational drug VX-809. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011; 108: 18843–18848.
- 7 Wainwright CE, Elborn JS, Ramsey BW, *et al.* Lumacaftor-ivacaftor in patients with cystic fibrosis homozygous for Phe508del CFTR. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 373: 220–231.
- 8 Taylor-Cousar JL, Munck A, McKone EF, *et al.* Tezacaftor-ivacaftor in patients with cystic fibrosis homozygous for Phe508del. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 377: 2013–2023.
- 9 Keating D, Marigowda G, Burr L, *et al.* VX-445-tezacaftor-ivacaftor in patients with cystic fibrosis and one or two Phe508del alleles. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 379: 1612–1620.
- 10 Middleton PG, Mall MA, Dřevínek P, et al. Elexacaftor-tezacaftor-ivacaftor for cystic fibrosis with a single Phe508del Allele. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1809–1819.
- 11 Cholon DM, Quinney NL, Fulcher ML, et al. Potentiator ivacaftor abrogates pharmacological correction of DeltaF508 CFTR in cystic fibrosis. Sci Transl Med 2014; 6: 246ra296.
- 12 Veit G, Avramescu RG, Perdomo D, *et al.* Some gating potentiators, including VX-770, diminish ΔF508-CFTR functional expression. *Sci Trans Med* 2014; 6: 246ra297.
- 13 Veit G, Roldan A, Hancock MA, *et al.* Allosteric folding correction of F508del and rare CFTR mutants by elexacaftor-ivacaftor (Trikafta) combination. *JCl Insight* 2020; 5: e139983.
- **14** Becq F, Mirval S, Carrez T, *et al.* The rescue of F508del-CFTR by elexacaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor (Trikafta) in human airway epithelial cells is underestimated due to the presence of ivacaftor. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2100671.
- **15** Jih KY, Li M, Hwang TC, *et al.* The most common cystic fibrosis-associated mutation destabilizes the dimeric state of the nucleotide-binding domains of CFTR. *J Physiol* 2011; 589: 2719–2731.
- **16** Yeh H-I, Qiu L, Sohma Y, *et al.* Identifying the molecular target sites for CFTR potentiators GLPG1837 and VX-770. *J Gen Physiol* 2019; 151: 912–928.
- 17 Liu F, Zhang Z, Levit A, *et al.* Structural identification of a hotspot on CFTR for potentiation. *Science* 2019; 364: 1184–1188.
- 18 Lin WY, Jih KY, Hwang TC. A single amino acid substitution in CFTR converts ATP to an inhibitory ligand. J Gen Physiol 2014; 144: 311–320.
- **19** Laselva O, Qureshi Z, Zeng Z-W, *et al.* Identification of binding sites for ivacaftor on the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. *iScience* 2021; 24: 102542.
- 20 Avramescu RG, Kai Y, Xu H, *et al.* Mutation-specific downregulation of CFTR2 variants by gating potentiators. *Hum Mol Genet* 2017; 26: 4873–4885.
- 21 Lin WY, Sohma Y, Hwang TC. Synergistic potentiation of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gating by two chemically distinct potentiators, Ivacaftor (VX-770) and 5-Nitro-2-(3-Phenylpropylamino) Benzoate. *Mol Pharmacol* 2016; 90: 275–285.

- 22 Yeh HI, Sohma Y, Conrath K, *et al.* A common mechanism for CFTR potentiators. *J Gen Physiol* 2017; 149: 1105–1118.
- 23 Dekkers JF, Van Mourik P, Vonk AM, *et al.* Potentiator synergy in rectal organoids carrying S1251N, G551D, or F508del CFTR mutations. *J Cyst Fibros* 2016; 15: 568–578.
- 24 Phuan PW, Son JH, Tan JA, et al. Combination potentiator ('co-potentiator') therapy for CF caused by CFTR mutants, including N1303K, that are poorly responsive to single potentiators. J Cyst Fibros 2018; 17: 595–606.
- 25 Phuan P-W, Tan J-A, Rivera AA, *et al.* Nanomolar-potency 'co-potentiator' therapy for cystic fibrosis caused by a defined subset of minimal function CFTR mutants. *Sci Rep* 2019; 9: 17640.
- 26 Laselva O, Bartlett C, Gunawardena TNA, *et al.* Rescue of multiple class II CFTR mutations by elexacaftor +tezacaftor+ivacaftor mediated in part by the dual activities of elexacaftor as both corrector and potentiator. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2002774.