





## GINA 2015: the latest iteration of a magnificent journey

Jean Bousquet 1,2,3 and Marc Humbert 4,5,6

Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>University Hospital, Montpellier, France. <sup>2</sup>MACVIA-LR, Contre les Maladies Chronique spour un Vieillissement Actif en Languedoc Roussilon, European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing Reference Site, France. <sup>3</sup>INSERM, VIMA: Ageing and chronic diseases Epidemiological and public health approaches, U1168, Paris, and UVSQ, UMR-S 1168, Université Versailles St-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Paris, France. <sup>4</sup>Univ. Paris-Sud, Faculté de Médecine, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France. <sup>5</sup>AP-HP, Centre de Référence de l'Hypertension Pulmonaire Sévère, Département Hospitalo-Universitaire (DHU) Thorax Innovation (TORINO), Service de Pneumologie, Hôpital de Bicêtre, Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France. <sup>6</sup>INSERM UMR\_S 999, Univ. Paris-Sud, Laboratoire d'Excellence (LabEx) en Recherche sur le Médicament et l'Innovation Thérapeutique (LERMIT), Centre Chirurgical Marie Lannelongue, Le Plessis Robinson, France.

**Correspondence**: J. Bousquet, CHRU Montpellier, 34295 Montpellier Cedex 5, France. E-mail: jean.bousquet@orange.fr



@ERSpublications

In the September issue of the ERJ, a new report summarises key updates to the GINA global strategy document http://ow.ly/PKjhw

The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) represents a remarkable source document that has changed the course of asthma in most countries. The first asthma guidelines have been published in Australasia, UK [1] and the USA [2]. Canadian and French recommendations proposed the concept of control [3, 4], and the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Expert Panel Report initiated the concept of risk for asthma [5]. However, GINA is globally considered to be the prominent asthma recommendation building on existing knowledge and providing several updates or revisions since it was introduced in 1995 [2, 6, 7]. GINA 2015 represents a significant advance over the previous iteration, with a more practical approach and an increased appreciation of asthma as a public health problem [8]. Due to the expected impact of GINA 2015 it is mandatory to assess strengths and weaknesses although many of these cannot be ruled out due to the lack of information currently available.

Firstly and importantly, the GINA 2015 is not a guideline and should only be considered a strategy proposal based on an expert consensus. Key recommendations for diagnosis and management are considered and areas for research represent an interesting addition to the new iteration. Only recommendations for severe asthma use the GRADE approach [9]. The GINA evidence-based system may be sufficient for many recommendations but not for all, and a document as powerful as GINA should probably use the best system. In most guidelines, the evidence is drawn from randomised controlled trials only. Thus, recommendations do not apply for many patients due to the very strict inclusion criteria of these trials [10], for example excluding patients such as smokers, obese patients and those with multimorbidity. GINA should be commended for also considering real life and observational studies [11].

The new definition of asthma is closer to the mechanisms of the disease, but it is more complex than the previous one for a practising physician and may not differentiate asthma from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) sufficiently. Interestingly, patient stratification is taken into account: "an overarching principle in the new GINA report is the importance of individualising patient management not only by using genomics or proteomics, but also with 'humanomics', taking into account the behavioural, social and cultural factors that shape outcomes for individual patients." However, no patient

This article has been revised according to the correction published in the December 2015 issue of the European Respiratory Journal.

Received: July 07 2015 | Accepted: July 07 2015

Conflict of interest: Disclosures can be found alongside the online version of this article at erj.ersjournals.com

Copyright ©ERS 2015

can be currently managed using genomics or proteomics, although this may be possible in future [12]. Moreover, all physicians treating asthmatics have always considered "humanomics" for each patient. GINA 2015, however, brings information on how to cope with individual patients and as such represents an important advance over previous GINA documents. Strategies to reduce the impact of impaired health literacy are very important and should be commended [13, 14].

Comorbidities are listed but, as previously, they do not properly consider rhinitis, the major one present in the vast majority of asthmatic patients. Moreover, there is confusion between rhinitis and rhinosinusitis, which represent two different diseases [15]. This is highly surprising, since most GINA members see regularly patients who complain from nasal and bronchial problems equally [16]. A proposed guideline for management of asthmatic patients should consider this issue adequately, or propose a link with an evidence-based reference document for the global assessment of the asthmatic patient with rhinitis: ARIA (Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma), for example [17].

Although asthma patients of older age and those with asthma in pregnancy are considered, there is a major lack of information, representing an urgent research need to close the gaps [18, 19]. Interestingly, some insufficiently evidenced recommendations are proposed for asthma in older patients and the use of cardiac drugs. A GRADE-based recommendation (including the list of papers reviewed) [20] should be proposed urgently for the use of  $\beta_2$ -agonists and  $\beta$ -blockers in patients with comorbid cardiac disease and asthma (and COPD).

The asthma—COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS) is rightly discussed in "a new chapter aimed at providing interim practical advice for primary care and non-respiratory specialists, and as a signal to regulators that evidence is needed about treatment for patients with features of both asthma and COPD," along with "A syndromic approach to recognising asthma, COPD and ACOS in primary care," but, as indicated, ACOS cannot yet be defined and the treatment unclear. There is an urgent need for future epidemiological [21] and mechanistic research components of ACOS, and treatment approaches [22]. However, in real life, most physicians in primary care use combination therapy in those they diagnose as having ACOS and make a diagnosis of asthma if the patient improves and COPD if there is no major change. It is, however, important to define the asthmatic and COPD components of ACOS, since inhaled corticosteroids are very effective in asthma to prevent exacerbations, whereas they are moderately effective in COPD but can induce pneumonia [23].

Preschool children often have wheezing but the diagnosis of asthma in this age group is difficult and the prognosis of the disease even more complex. GINA 2015 proposes a revised management of wheezing in this age group and indicates that there is insufficient evidence [24]. However, new data in cohorts in the general population are not sufficiently reviewed as novel data suggest that multimorbidity in preschool children has a significant clinical relevance for the prognosis of asthma [25].

The new GINA 2015 proposes a practical approach to management: "A list of common asthma phenotypes is provided, to prompt clinicians, including those in primary care, to recognise different clinical patterns among their patients, even if they lack access to complex investigations." Importantly, pulmonary function tests are well described. However, as for other guides for disease management, there is a need for a larger use of GINA by physicians for individual patients [26]. Moreover, GINA 2015 cannot be used in many developing countries due to the lack of available/affordable recommended treatments in the proposal, as well as the definition of severe asthma restricted to developed countries [9, 27].

Control-based care is well defined and appraised. It makes an improvement over the previous iterations. "Assess [ment of asthma] includes not only symptom control ... but also risk factors, inhaler technique, adherence and patient preference, to ensure that treatment can be tailored to the individual. 'Adjust treatment' (up or down) includes not only medications but also non-pharmacological strategies and treatment of modifiable risk factors. 'Review response', including side-effects and patient satisfaction, is essential to avoid over- or under-treatment." This practical approach closely follows the paper presented to the World Health Organization on severe asthma in 2010 [28] and may be used for other chronic diseases [29]. Comparing COPD and asthma, this common approach to the severity and control of chronic diseases suggests important areas for research: prevention of asthma, treatment of severe asthma, and treatment of all patients with COPD [30].

A strategy proposal may consider care pathways at the local level. GINA 2015 proposes "An explicit framework for tailoring treatment. The GINA report now draws a clear distinction between population-level (e.g. national guidelines, health maintenance organisations) and patient-level treatment decisions." This chapter is important and follows what is currently done at the European Union level by the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing [31]. However, more practical approaches should be proposed and the most successful national asthma plan was devised in 1995 and did not consider the GINA guidelines [32].

580 DDI: 10.1183/13993003.01084-2015

Expansion of the indication for low dose inhaled corticosteroids is very surprising since the evidence is clearly lacking and does not consider the possible side-effects of inhaled corticosteroids, which are likely to outweigh the benefits, in particular for children [33]. Moreover, compliance of patients is likely to be even worse than for other steps of GINA. This proposal is likely to be impossible to apply in real life.

Many other interesting proposals have been made including: 1) the term "flare-up" is now recommended for communication with patients; 2) an early rapid increase in inhaled corticosteroids is now recommended for many action plans; and 3) exacerbation severity classification has been streamlined into mild/moderate, severe and life-threatening.

GINA 2015 represents an excellent continuous update from previous iterations but modern medicine should be incorporated in the proposal. The next generation guidelines should incorporate integrated care pathways (suggested in GINA 2015 but not developed in practical terms), e-health and clinical decision support systems to propose a manageable and personalised medicine easily accessible to the patients, health and social care providers [34]. This is being done at the European Union level for rhinitis and the results of this initiative further deployed for asthma.

In summary, GINA 2015 is in line with previous GINA documents with new well-described changes. It is a remarkable educational tool but its applicability to patients in real life needs to be demonstrated. GINA 2015, as well as other guides for asthma, will be used by the Care Pathways action group of the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing to propose integrated care pathways [30]. The current document may be very useful for new generation guidelines.

## References

- Guidelines for management of asthma in adults: I--Chronic persistent asthma. Statement by the British Thoracic Society, Research Unit of the Royal College of Physicians of London, King's Fund Centre, National Asthma Campaign. *BMJ* 1990; 301: 651–653.
- 2 Bousquet J, Clark TJ, Hurd S, et al. GINA guidelines on asthma and beyond. Allergy 2007; 62: 102-112.
- Boulet LP, Becker A, Berube D, et al. Canadian Asthma Consensus Report, 1999. Canadian Asthma Consensus Group. CMAJ 1999; 161: Suppl. 11, S1–S61.
- 4 Roche N, Godard P. Control and severity: complementary approaches to asthma management. *Allergy* 2007; 62: 116–119.
- 5 Busse WW, Lemanske RF Jr. Expert Panel Report 3: Moving forward to improve asthma care. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007; 120: 1012–1014.
- 6 Bateman ED, Hurd SS, Barnes PJ, et al. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention: GINA executive summary. Eur Respir J 2008; 31: 143–178.
- Boulet LP, FitzGerald JM, Reddel HK. The revised 2014 GINA strategy report: opportunities for change. Cur Opin Pulm Med 2015; 21: 1–7.
- 8 Reddel HK, Bateman ED, Becker A, et al. A summary of the new GINA strategy: a roadmap to asthma control. Eur Respir J 2015; 46: 622–639.
- 9 Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. Eur Respir J 2014; 43: 343–373.
- Herland K, Akselsen JP, Skjonsberg OH, et al. How representative are clinical study patients with asthma or COPD for a larger "real life" population of patients with obstructive lung disease? Respir Med 2005; 99: 11–19.
- 11 Price D, Chisholm A, van der Molen T, et al. Reassessing the evidence hierarchy in asthma: evaluating comparative effectiveness. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 2011; 11: 526–538.
- 12 Zhang H, Gustafsson M, Nestor C, et al. Targeted omics and systems medicine: personalising care. Lancet Respir Med 2014; 2: 785–787.
- 13 Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, et al. Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. Ann Intern Med 2011; 155: 97–107.
- 14 Goeman DP, Abramson MJ, McCarthy EA, et al. Asthma mortality in Australia in the 21st century: a case series analysis. BMJ Open 2013; 3: e002539.
- 15 Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Mullol J, et al. European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012. Rhinol Suppl 2012; 23: 1–298.
- 16 Leynaert B, Neukirch C, Liard R, et al. Quality of life in allergic rhinitis and asthma. A population-based study of young adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000; 162: 1391–1396.
- Brozek JL, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani CE, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010; 126: 466–476.
- 18 Hanania NA, King MJ, Braman SS, et al. Asthma in the elderly: current understanding and future research needs--a report of a National Institute on Aging (NIA) workshop. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011; 128: Suppl. 3, S4–S24.
- Boulet LP. Is asthma control really more difficult to achieve in the elderly patient? Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2014; 165: 149–151.
- Brozek JL, Akl EA, Alonso-Coello P, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations in clinical practice guidelines. Part 1 of 3. An overview of the GRADE approach and grading quality of evidence about interventions. Allergy 2009; 64: 669–677.
- 21 de Marco R, Marcon A, Rossi A, et al. Asthma, COPD and overlap syndrome: a longitudinal study in young European adults. Eur Respir J 2015; 46: 671–679.
- 22 Hardin M, Cho M, McDonald ML, *et al.* The clinical and genetic features of COPD-asthma overlap syndrome. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 44: 341–350.
- 23 Ernst P, Saad N, Suissa S. Inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: the clinical evidence. Eur Respir J 2015; 45: 525-537.

- 24 Brand PL, Caudri D, Eber E, et al. Classification and pharmacological treatment of preschool wheezing: changes since 2008. Eur Respir J 2014; 43: 1172–1177.
- 25 Pinart M, Benet M, Annesi-Maesano I, et al. Comorbidity of eczema, rhinitis, and asthma in IgE-sensitised and non-IgE-sensitised children in MeDALL: a population-based cohort study. Lancet Respir Med 2014; 2: 131–140.
- 26 Boulet LP, FitzGerald JM, Levy ML, et al. A guide to the translation of the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) strategy into improved care. Eur Respir J 2012; 39: 1220–1229.
- 27 Bousquet J, Khaltaev N, Cruz A, et al. International European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society guidelines on severe asthma. Eur Respir J 2014; 44: 1377–1378.
- Bousquet J, Mantzouranis E, Cruz AA, et al. Uniform definition of asthma severity, control, and exacerbations: document presented for the World Health Organization Consultation on Severe Asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010; 126: 926–938.
- Bousquet J, Jorgensen C, Dauzat M, et al. Systems medicine approaches for the definition of complex phenotypes in chronic diseases and ageing. From concept to implementation and policies. Curr Pharm Des 2014; 20: 5928–5944.
- 30 Bousquet J, Addis A, Adcock I, et al. Integrated care pathways for airway diseases (AIRWAYS-ICPs). Eur Respir J 2014; 44: 304–323.
- 31 Bousquet J, Michel J, Standberg T, et al. The European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing: the European Geriatric Medicine introduces the EIP on AHA Column. Eur Geriatr Med 2014; 5: 361–362.
- 32 Haahtela T, Tuomisto LE, Pietinalho A, et al. A 10 year asthma programme in Finland: major change for the better. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 663–670.
- 33 Zhang L, Prietsch SO, Ducharme FM. Inhaled corticosteroids in children with persistent asthma: effects on growth. Evid Based Child Health 2014; 9: 829–930.
- 34 Bousquet J, Schunemann HJ, Fonseca J, et al. MACVIA-ARIA Sentinel Network for Allergic Rhinitis (MASK-rhinitis): the new generation guideline implementation. Allergy 2015; in press [DOI: 10.1111/all.12686].

582 D0I: 10.1183/13993003.01084-2015