

European Respiratory Society

Annual Congress 2013

Abstract Number: 1689

Publication Number: P322

Abstract Group: 4.2. Sleep and Control of Breathing

Keyword 1: Hypoxia **Keyword 2:** Mechanical ventilation **Keyword 3:** No keyword

Title: Fixed pressure (FP) versus auto-adjusting continuous positive airway pressure (autoCPAP): Comparison of efficacy in reducing blood pressure, a randomized controlled trial

Prof. Jean-Louis 14091 Pepin J.Pepin@chu-grenoble.fr MD ^{1,2}, Prof. Jean-Philippe 14099 Baguet JPBaguet@chu-grenoble.fr MD ³, Dr. Renaud 14101 RTamisier RTamisier@chu-grenoble.fr MD ^{1,2}, Dr. Bruno 14106 Lepaulle blepaulle.pneumosud@orange.fr MD ⁴, Dr. François 14107 Arbib farbib.pneumosud@orange.fr MD ⁴, Ms. Nathalie 14115 Arnol NArnol@chu-grenoble.fr ^{1,2}, Prof. Jean-François 14117 Timsit JFTimsit@chu-grenoble.fr MD ^{5,6} and Prof. Patrick 14118 Levy PL Levy@chu-grenoble.fr MD ^{1,2}. ¹ HP2 Laboratory:Hypoxia (Pathophysiology), Université Grenoble Alpes; Inserm U1042, Grenoble Cedex 09, France, 38043 ; ² Laboratoire EFCR, Pôle Locomotion Rééducation Et Physiologie, CHU De Grenoble, Grenoble Cedex 09, France, 38043 ; ³ Clinique De Cardiologie, CHU De Grenoble, Grenoble Cedex 09, France, 38043 ; ⁴ Pneumologie, Cabinet Privé, Echirolles, France, 38130 ; ⁵ INSERM U823, Centre De Recherche Institut Albert Bonniot, La Tronche, France, 38700 and ⁶ Réanimation Médicale, Pôle Cancérologie Médecine Aigue Et Communautaire, CHU De Grenoble, Grenoble Cedex 09, France, 38043 .

Body: CPAP alone provides only modest reduction in blood pressure (BP) in obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) patients. Limited data are available on the respective impact of FP versus autoCPAP devices on BP evolution. Objective: To compare efficacy of FP versus autoCPAP in reducing BP. Design: Mono-centre, randomised-controlled (1:1), parallel trial. Interventions: One-week autotitration, then FP (95th percentile) for 4 months versus auto-adjusting CPAP throughout 4 months (6-14 cmH₂O). Main Outcome Measures: Primary endpoint was the change in office systolic BP. Secondary endpoints included 24-hour BP measurements. Results: 322 OSA participants (Apnea+Hypopnea index: 43±21/h, mean age 57±11 years, 30 % female, mean BMI 31.3±6.6 kg/m², mean office systolic and diastolic BP 135±17 and 80±10 mmHg) were randomly assigned to FP (n=161) or autoCPAP (n=161). Analysis is presented in Intention to Treat. CPAP compliance did not differ between groups with an overall median CPAP use of 5:1 hours per night. Office systolic BP decreased by 2.2 mmHg (95% CI -5.8/1.4) from baseline to 4 months in the FP group and by 0.4 mmHg (-4.3/3.4) in the autoCPAP group (difference between groups -1.3 mmHg, -4.1/1.5; p=0.365, adjusted for baseline BP values). From baseline to 4 months, 24-hour diastolic BP decreased by 1.7 mmHg (-3.9/0.5) in the FP group and by 0.5 mmHg (-2.3/1.3) in the autoCPAP group (difference between groups -1.4 mmHg, -2.7/-0.01; p=0.0477, adjusted for baseline BP values). Conclusions: Fixed pressure is more effective than autoCPAP in reducing blood pressure. Trial Reg No: NCT01090297.