CORRESPONDENCE

Women's respiratory vulnerability to tobacco smoking

To the Editor:

Prescott et al. [1] report, in two independent population samples of adults, that smoking had a greater impact on the lung function of females than of males and the adjusted risk of being admitted to hospital for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) was higher for females than for males. Although the authors state that some earlier reports do not confirm the higher risk of respiratory diseases related to tobacco smoking in women, the evidence of a greater vulnerability of women has now become unquestionable. In two French cross-sectional studies in the late 1970s we found that the prevalence of respiratory symptoms increased more sharply with increasing numbers of cigarettes smoked in female than in male subjects and that there was a dose-response relationship between smoking and lower levels of height-adjusted forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), both in adults [2] and in teenagers [3]. Since then several cross-sectional and follow-up studies have reported similar results. A recent longitudinal study suggests that adolescent girls may be more vulnerable than boys to the effects of smoking on the growth of lung function [4]. It seems unlikely that results observed in different countries at different times, measuring different health outcomes are due to epidemiological biases.

We have also investigated whether females are more likely than men to have nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR), which can be a risk factor for the accelerated decline of lung function. Using the data from 407 males and 392 females aged 20–44 yrs, from the Paris and Montpellier centres of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) [5] we studied the sex ratio for BHR, defined as a 20% fall in FEV1 when a maximal cumulative dose of 4 mg methacholine had been administered. The proportion of reactors was 37% in females and 19% in males (odds ratio (OR)=2.6 (1.9–3.6)). After excluding subjects with asthma, and adjusting for baseline FEV1, BHR was still significantly more frequent in females (OR=1.9 (1.1–3.4)). The excess prevalence of BHR in women was related to smoking. The OR for BHR in heavy

versus nonsmokers was 2.0 (0.99–4.1) and in heavy versus moderate smokers was 2.8 (1.3–6.4) in females, whereas the corresponding ORs were 1.1 (0.4–2.7) and 0.8 (0.3–2.3) in males.

The paper by Prescott *et al.* [1] shows gender differences in severe respiratory morbidity. Thus, although the reasons for such sex-specific differences are not known, the greater respiratory vulnerability of females to smoking is consistently evidenced whatever the health outcomes measured, from asymptomatic bronchial hyperresponsiveness to hospitalizations for COPD.

R. Liard, B. Leynaert, F. Neukirch

INSERM Unité 408, Epidémiologie Faculté de Médecine Xavier Bichat, BP 416, 75870 Paris Cedex 18. Fax: 33 142 263330

J. Bousquet

INSERM Unité 454, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Mont-pellier, France.

References

- Prescott E, Bjerg AM, Andersen PK, Lange P, Vestbo J. Gender difference in smoking effects on lung function and hospitalization for COPD: results from a Danish longitudinal population study. *Eur Respir J* 1997; 10: 822– 827.
- Liard R, Perdrizet S, Cooreman J, Bidou S. Smoking and chronic respiratory symptoms: prevalence in male and female smokers. Am J Public Health 1980; 70: 271–273.
- Neukirch F, Liard R, Cooreman J, Perdrizet S. Prevalence of respiratory symptoms in Parisian teenagers according to smoking habits. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1982; 36: 202–204.
- Gold DR, Wang X, Wypij D, Speizer FE, Ware JH, Dockery DW. Effects of cigarette smoking and lung function in adolescent boys and girls. N Engl J Med 1996; 335: 931–937.
- Burney P, Luczynska C, Chinn S, Jarvis D. The European Community Respiratory Health Survey. Eur Respir J 1994; 7: 954–960.

REPLY

From the authors:

We thank Liard, Leynaert, Neukirch and Bousquet for their comments regarding our recent paper [1] and for supplying additional data. In addition to our results regarding admission to hospital for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), we have found that female smokers have a higher relative mortality risk from respiratory disease than male smokers, compared to never-smokers of the corresponding gender [2].

Regarding Liard and associates' interesting results on bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR), a higher prevalence of BHR in female smokers with early COPD was also found in the Lung Health Study [3], although this was suggested to be due to their smaller airway caliber [4]. In the same study BHR was shown to be a predictor of progression of airway obstruction in smokers [5]. Furthermore, it has repeatedly been reported that females in the Lung Health Study responded both more favourably to smoking cessation and with a further forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) decline when restarting smoking. Although this information is still unpublished, it was most

CORRESPONDENCE 793

recently presented by S. Buist during the discussion at the European Respiratory Society study on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (EUROSCOP) session at the recent European Respiratory Society meeting in Berlin.

If we accept that female lungs are more susceptible to the deleterious effects of smoking, which Liard and associates find is unquestionable, can a possible mechanism be that smoking is more prone to trigger nonspecific bronchial hyperresponsiveness in women, causing airway obstruction to progress more rapidly?

E. Prescott, J. Vestbo

The Copenhagen Center for Prospective Population Studies, Institute of Preventive Medicine, Kommunehospitalet DK-1399 Copenhagen K, Denmark. Fax: 45 33 324240

References

 Prescott E, Bjerg AM, Andersen PK, Lange P, Vestbo J. Gender difference in smoking effects on lung function and risk of hospitalization for COPD: results from a Danish longitudinal population study. *Eur Respir J* 1997; 10: 822–827

- Prescott E, Osler M, Andersen PK, et al. Mortality in women and men in relation to smoking: Results from the Copenhagen center for prospective population studies. Int J Epidemiol 1997; (in press).
- 3. Tashkin DP, Altose MD, Bleecker ER, *et al.* The Lung Health Study: Airway responsiveness to inhaled methacholine in smokers with mild to moderate airflow limitation. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992; 145: 301–310.
- Kanner RE, Connett JE, Altose MD, et al. Gender difference in airway hyperresponsiveness in smokers with mild COPD. The Lung Health Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994; 150: 956–961.
- Tashkin DP, Altose MD. Connett JE, Kanner RE, Lee WW, Wise RA. Methacholine reactivity predicts changes in lung function over time in smokers with early chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The Lung Health Study Research Group. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1996; 153: 1802–1811.